

CARLESON MEASURES FOR WEIGHTED HOLOMORPHIC BESOV SPACES

CARME CASCANTE AND JOAQUIN M. ORTEGA

ABSTRACT. We obtain characterizations of positive Borel measures μ on $\mathbf{B}^n$ so that some weighted holomorphic Besov spaces $B_s^p(w)$ are imbedded in $L^p(d\mu)$, where w is a B_p weight in the unit ball of $\mathbf{C}^n$.

1. INTRODUCTION

If w is a weight in $\mathbf{B}^n$, the unit ball in $\mathbf{C}^n$, and $p > 0$, $s \in \mathbf{R}$, the space $B_s^p(w)$ consists of holomorphic functions on $\mathbf{B}^n$ for which

$$\|f\|_{B_s^p(w)}^p = \int_{\mathbf{B}^n} |(I + R)^k f(y)|^p (1 - |y|^2)^{(k-s)p-1} w(y) dv(y) < +\infty,$$

for some $k \in \mathbf{Z}_+$, $k > s$. Here dv is the normalized Lebesgue measure on $\mathbf{B}^n$. As it happens in the unweighted case, it can be shown that for adequate weights if the above integral is finite for some $k > s$, then it is also finite for any $k > s$ (see section 3).

In this paper we consider Carleson measures for weighted holomorphic Besov space $B_s^p(w)$, that is, the positive Borel measures μ on $\mathbf{B}^n$, the unit ball in $\mathbf{C}^n$, for which the weighted holomorphic Besov space $B_s^p(w)$ is imbedded in $L^p(d\mu)$.

For some particular cases of weighted Besov spaces the characterization of the corresponding Carleson measures is known. For instance, when $s < 0$, no derivative is necessarily involved in the definition of the norm of $B_s^p(w)$, and it is in fact a weighted Bergman space. In that case, if $w(z) = (1 - |z|)^{\alpha}$, where $\alpha - sp > 0$, μ is a Carleson measure for $B_s^p(w)$ if and only if there exists $C > 0$ such that for any $\eta \in \mathbf{S}^n$, and $R > 0$, $\mu(T(\eta, R)) \leq CR^{n+\alpha-sp}$, where $T(\eta, R) = \{z \in \mathbf{B}^n, |1 - z\bar{\eta}| < R\}$ (see [OlPa], [Ste] in dimension 1, and [Lu1] in dimension $n > 1$ among others). This result can be extended to $\alpha = sp$ and $p \leq 2$ (see for instance the survey [ZhaZhu]). On the other hand, if $n + \alpha - sp < 0$, it is well known that the space $B_s^p(w)$ consists of regular functions, and the Carleson measures in those cases are just the finite ones.

Let's finally mention that if $n = 1$, [ArRoSa1] have studied the Carleson measures for $B_{\frac{1}{p}}^p(w)$, where $1 < p$, $0 < s < 1$, and w is a weight in $\mathbf{B}^1$ in the class B_p (see [Be] for a definition) satisfying some additional regularity conditions on w .

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 32A35, 46E35, 32A40.

Key words and phrases. weighted holomorphic Besov spaces, Carleson measures.

Both authors partially supported by DGICYT Grant MTM2005-08984-C02-02, and DURSI Grant 2005SGR 00611.

The main purpose of these paper is to obtain characterizations of Carleson measures for weighted Besov spaces in dimension $n > 1$. We remark that they also appear in a natural way in the study of Carleson measures for Hardy-Sobolev spaces on general domains and such that the support of the measure is included on a manifold. For instance, if $D = \{(z, y); z, y \in \mathbf{B}^1, |y| \leq \varphi(z)\}$, where φ is a nonnegative function in $\mathcal{C}^1(\mathbf{B}^1)$, the study of Carleson measures for $H_s^p(D)$ supported on $y = 0$, $s > \frac{1}{p}$ leads to

the study of Carleson measures on $B_{s-\frac{1}{p}}(w)$ where $w = \varphi(4\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial z}\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\bar{z}} + 1)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Although we will not study them in detail, our techniques are inspired in these facts.

We observe that when $w \equiv 1$, the holomorphic Besov space B_s^p can be viewed as a restriction to $\mathbf{B}^n$ of the Hardy-Sobolev space $H_{s+\frac{1}{p}}^p(\mathbf{B}^{n+1})$ (see [OrFa]). This fact allows to reduce the study of Carleson measures for B_s^p to the study of Carleson measures for Hardy Sobolev spaces in one more dimension. When $n - sp < 1$, there exists characterizations of Carleson measures for $H_{s+\frac{1}{p}}^p(\mathbf{B}^{n+1})$ (see [CaOr2]) which give characterizations for Carleson measures for $B_s^p(\mathbf{B}^n)$. Other authors (see [ArRoSa2] and references therein) have also obtained other type of characterizations for $H_s^2(\mathbf{B}^n)$ when $n - 2s \leq 1$.

Let's recall the main facts about Carleson measures on weighted Hardy-Sobolev spaces that we will need. The weighted Hardy-Sobolev space $H_s^p(w, \mathbf{B}^n)$, $0 \leq s, p < +\infty$, consists of those functions f holomorphic in $\mathbf{B}^n$ such that if $f(z) = \sum_k f_k(z)$

is its homogeneous polynomial expansion, and $(I + R)^s f(z) = \sum_k (1 + k)^s f_k(z)$, we

have that

$$\|f\|_{H_s^p(w)} = \sup_{0 < r < 1} \|(I + R)^s f(r\zeta)\|_{L^p(w)} < +\infty.$$

We also recall that a w is in $A_p(\mathbf{S}^n)$, $1 < p < +\infty$, if there exists $C > 0$ such that for any nonisotropic ball $B \subset \mathbf{S}^n$, $B = B(\zeta, r) = \{\eta \in \mathbf{S}^n; |1 - \zeta\bar{\eta}| < r\}$,

$$\left(\frac{1}{|B|} \int_B w d\sigma\right) \left(\frac{1}{|B|} \int_B w^{\frac{-1}{p-1}} d\sigma\right)^{p-1} \leq C,$$

where σ is the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbf{S}^n$ and $|B|$ denotes the Lebesgue measure of the ball B .

A weight w in $\mathbf{S}^n$ is in $D_\tau(\mathbf{S}^n)$, if there exists $C > 0$ such that for any nonisotropic ball B in $\mathbf{S}^n$, $w(2^k B) \leq C 2^{k\tau} w(B)$. Analogously to what it happens with weights in $\mathbf{R}^n$, the fact that a weight is in $A_p(\mathbf{S}^n)$ implies that it is in $D_\tau(\mathbf{S}^n)$ for $\tau = np$.

We denote by K_s the nonisotropic potential operator defined by

$$K_s[f](z) = \int_{\mathbf{S}^n} \frac{f(\eta)}{|1 - z\bar{\eta}|^{n-s}} d\sigma(\eta), \quad z \in \overline{\mathbf{B}}^n.$$

It has been shown in [CaOr3]

Theorem 1.1 ([CaOr3]). *Let $1 < p < +\infty$, w an A_p -weight, and μ a finite positive Borel measure on $\mathbf{B}^n$. Assume that w is in D_τ for some $0 \leq \tau - sp < 1$. We then have that the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) $\|f\|_{L^p(d\mu)} \leq C\|f\|_{H_s^p(w\mathbf{B}^n)}.$
- (ii) $\|K_\alpha(f)\|_{L^p(d\mu)} \leq C\|f\|_{L^p(w)}.$

We remark that this equivalence is quite useful in many applications, since allows to work with a positive kernel.

Let's now state the main result in this paper. Recall that a weight w is in $B_p(\mathbf{B}^n)$, if there exists $C > 0$ such that for any tent $T(\zeta, R)$, $\zeta \in \mathbf{S}^n$,

$$\frac{1}{v(T(\zeta, R))} \int_{T(\zeta, R)} \omega dv \left(\frac{1}{v(T(\zeta, R))} \int_{T(\zeta, R)} \omega^{-(p'-1)} dv \right)^{p-1} \leq C.$$

We introduce a pseudodistance in $\overline{\mathbf{B}}^n$ defined by

$$\rho(z, w) = |1 - z\overline{w}| - \sqrt{1 - |z|^2} \sqrt{1 - |w|^2}.$$

Theorem D. *Let $1 < p < +\infty$, w a $B_p(\mathbf{B}^n)$ weight. Assume that w satisfies a doubling condition of order $\tau + 1$, $\tau < 1 + sp$, for the pseudodistance ρ . Let μ be a positive Borel measure on $\mathbf{B}^n$. We then have that the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) *There exists $C > 0$ such that for any $f \in B_s^p(w)$,*

$$\|f\|_{L^p(d\mu)} \leq C\|f\|_{B_s^p(w)}.$$

- (ii) *There exists $C > 0$ such that for any $f \in L^p(wdv)$,*

$$\left\| \int_{\mathbf{B}^n} \frac{f(y)dv(y)}{(1 - z\overline{y})^{n+1-(s+\frac{1}{p})}} \right\|_{L^p(d\mu)} \leq C\|f\|_{L^p(wdv)}.$$

- (iii) *There exists $C > 0$ such that for any $f \in L^p(wdv)$,*

$$\left\| \int_{\mathbf{B}^n} \frac{f(y)dv(y)}{|1 - z\overline{y}|^{n+1-(s+\frac{1}{p})}} \right\|_{L^p(d\mu)} \leq C\|f\|_{L^p(wdv)}.$$

The paper is organized as follows: In section 2 we introduce the class of weights we will consider. We obtain all the properties on the weights needed in the proof of Theorem D. In section 3 we study the general properties of the weighted Besov spaces $B_s^p(w)$ and in section 4 we will give the proof of theorem D.

Finally, the usual remark on notation: we will adopt the convention of using the same letter for various absolute constants whose values may change in each occurrence, and we will write $A \preceq B$ if there exists an absolute constant M such that $A \leq MB$. We will say that two quantities A and B are equivalent if both $A \preceq B$ and $B \preceq A$, and, in that case, we will write $A \simeq B$.

2. WEIGHTS IN $\mathbf{B}^n$

Our approach to the study of weighted Besov spaces in $\mathbf{B}^n$ uses their immersion in holomorphic spaces defined in $\mathbf{B}^{n+1}$ via the natural projection $\Pi : \mathbf{B}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}^n$, given by $\Pi(z_1, \dots, z_{n+1}) = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$. It is then convenient to consider a pseudodistance in $\overline{\mathbf{B}}^n$ deduced from the hyperbolic pseudodistance in $\mathbf{S}^{n+1}$.

If $z, w \in \overline{\mathbf{B}}^n$, let

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(z, w) &= \inf_{\varphi, \theta \in [0, 2\pi)} |1 - z\overline{w} - \sqrt{1 - |z|^2}e^{i\varphi}\sqrt{1 - |w|^2}e^{i\theta}| \\ &= \inf_{\theta \in [0, 2\pi)} |1 - z\overline{w} - \sqrt{1 - |z|^2}\sqrt{1 - |w|^2}e^{i\theta}| = |1 - z\overline{w}| - \sqrt{1 - |z|^2}\sqrt{1 - |w|^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that $\rho(z, w)$ is just the infimum of the Korany pseudodistances of the antiimages by the mapping Π of the points z, w .

Let us see a suggestive expression of ρ that has $|1 - z\overline{w}|$ as a factor. Let P_a be the orthogonal projection of $\mathbf{C}^n$ onto the subspace $[a]$ generated by a and $Q_a = Id - P_a$ the projection onto the orthogonal complement of $[a]$. If $a \in \mathbf{B}^n$, and φ_a is the automorphism in $\mathbf{B}^n$ which interchanges a and 0, given by

$$\varphi_a(z) = \frac{a - P_a(z) - (1 - |a|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}Q_a(z)}{1 - z\overline{a}},$$

then (see for instance theorem 2.2.2 in [Ru])

$$1 - |\varphi_a(z)|^2 = \frac{(1 - |a|^2)(1 - |z|^2)}{|1 - z\overline{a}|^2}.$$

This fact gives that $\rho(z, w) \simeq |1 - z\overline{w}||\varphi_z(w)|^2$. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(z, w) &= |1 - z\overline{w}| - \sqrt{1 - |z|^2}\sqrt{1 - |w|^2} \\ &= |1 - z\overline{w}| \left(1 - \frac{\sqrt{1 - |z|^2}\sqrt{1 - |w|^2}}{|1 - z\overline{w}|} \right) \simeq |1 - z\overline{w}||\varphi_z(w)|^2. \end{aligned}$$

In the following lemma we show that ρ is a pseudodistance whose balls $U(z, R)$ are "equivalent", in a sense that we will precise, to polydisks of size $R + R^{\frac{1}{2}}(1 - |z|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ in the complex normal direction and of size $R^{\frac{1}{2}}$ in the complex-tangential directions. In order to distinguish the Lebesgue measure in $\mathbf{S}^{n+1}$ and in $\mathbf{B}^n$, we will write $v(E)$ the volume measure of a measurable subset E in $\overline{\mathbf{B}}^n$, whereas $|F|$ will stand for the Lebesgue measure of a measurable subset $F \subset \mathbf{S}^{n+1}$.

Lemma 2.1. (i) ρ is a pseudodistance in $\overline{\mathbf{B}}^n$.

(ii) Let $z \in \overline{\mathbf{B}}^n$, $0 < R < 1$, and $U(z, R) = \{w \in \overline{\mathbf{B}}^n; \rho(z, w) < R\}$. Let $P(z, R)$ be the polydisk in $\overline{\mathbf{B}}^n$ centered at z , of size $R + R^{\frac{1}{2}}(1 - |z|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ in the complex normal direction and of size $R^{\frac{1}{2}}$ in the complex-tangential directions. Then there exists $C > 0$ such that $P(z, \frac{R}{C}) \subset U(z, R) \subset P(z, CR)$. In particular, $v(U(z, R)) \simeq R^n(R + (1 - |z|^2))$.

Proof of lemma 2.1:

Let $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$. If $z \in \mathbf{B}^n$, let $\Pi_\theta^{-1}(z) = (z, \sqrt{1 - |z|^2}e^{i\theta})$. We then have that for any $\theta_0 \in [0, 2\pi)$, $\rho(z, w) = \inf_\theta |1 - \Pi_\theta^{-1}(z)\overline{\Pi_{\theta_0}^{-1}(w)}|$, expression from which we easily obtain that ρ is a pseudodistance. That gives (i).

Let us prove (ii). Let $z \in \overline{\mathbf{B}}^n$, and $R > 0$. A unitary change of variables gives that, without loss of generality, we may assume that $z = (r, 0, \dots, 0)$, $0 \leq r \leq 1$.

We begin showing that $P(z, R) \subset U(z, CR)$, for some fixed constant $C > 0$. Let us consider first the case $R \leq 1 - r^2$. If $w = (w_1, \dots, w_n) \in P(z, R)$, the definition of the polydisk gives that $|r - w_1| \leq R + R^{\frac{1}{2}}(1 - r^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, and $|w_i| \leq R^{\frac{1}{2}}$, $i = 2, \dots, n$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(z, w) &= |1 - r\overline{w_1}| - \sqrt{1 - r^2}\sqrt{1 - |w|^2} = \frac{|r - w_1|^2 + (|w_2|^2 + \dots + |w_n|^2)(1 - r^2)}{|1 - r\overline{w_1}| + \sqrt{1 - |w|^2}\sqrt{1 - r^2}} \\ &\simeq \frac{|r - w_1|^2 + (|w_2|^2 + \dots + |w_n|^2)(1 - r^2)}{|1 - r\overline{w_1}|} \preceq \frac{R^2 + R(1 - r^2) + R(1 - r^2)}{1 - r^2} \preceq R. \end{aligned}$$

Assume now that $(1 - r^2) \leq R$. We have that

$$\rho(z, w) \leq |1 - r\overline{w_1}| \simeq (1 - r^2) + |r - w_1| \preceq (1 - r^2) + R + R^{\frac{1}{2}}(1 - r^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \preceq R.$$

Hence in any case we have shown that $P(z, R) \subset U(z, CR)$.

Conversely, let $w \in \mathbf{B}^n$ such that $\rho(z, w) < R$. The previous argument gives that

$$\rho(z, w) \simeq \frac{|r - w_1|^2 + (|w_2|^2 + \dots + |w_n|^2)(1 - r^2)}{|1 - r\overline{w_1}|} \preceq R.$$

In particular,

$$(2.1) \quad \frac{|r - w_1|^2}{(1 - r^2) + |r - w_1|} \preceq R.$$

If $|r - w_1| \leq (1 - r^2)$, we have that $(1 - r^2) + |r - w_1| \simeq (1 - r^2)$, and we deduce from (2.1) that $|r - w_1| \preceq R^{\frac{1}{2}}(1 - r^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. If on the other hand, $(1 - r^2) \leq |r - w_1|$, (2.1) gives that $|r - w_1| \preceq R$. Thus in any case we deduce that $|r - w_1| \preceq R + R^{\frac{1}{2}}(1 - r^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. In order to finish we have to check that $|w_i|^2 \preceq R$, $i = 2, \dots, n$. It is clear that this is the case if $|r - w_1| \leq (1 - r^2)$, since then $\frac{(|w_2|^2 + \dots + |w_n|^2)(1 - r^2)}{1 - r^2} \preceq R$. So we may assume that $(1 - r^2) \leq |r - w_1|$. Since we have shown that in that case $|r - w_1| \preceq R$, we obtain

$$|w_2|^2 + \dots + |w_n|^2 \leq 1 - |w_1|^2 \preceq |1 - w_1| \preceq (1 - r^2) + |r - w_1| \preceq |r - w_1| \preceq R.$$

The affirmation on the volume of the balls is obvious from the above. $\square$

Observe that if $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, and $U_\varepsilon(z) = U(z, \varepsilon(1 - |z|))$, we have that $U_\varepsilon(z)$ are contained and contain ellipsoids $E(z) = \{w \in \mathbf{B}^n; |\varphi_z(w)| < \varepsilon'\}$, $\varepsilon' < 1$, where φ_z is the automorphism in $\mathbf{B}^n$ that interchanges z and 0. This can be checked as follows: if $w \in U_\varepsilon(z)$, $\rho(z, w) < \varepsilon(1 - |z|)$, we have that $|1 - z\overline{w}||\varphi_z(w)|^2 \preceq \varepsilon(1 - |z|)$, and consequently $|\varphi_z(w)| \preceq \sqrt{\varepsilon}$. Conversely, if $|\varphi_z(w)| < \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}$, we have that

$$|\varphi_a(z)|^2 = 1 - \frac{(1 - |a|^2)(1 - |z|^2)}{|1 - z\overline{a}|^2} < \varepsilon.$$

Thus,

$$\frac{|1 - z\overline{a}|^2}{(1 - |a|^2)(1 - |z|^2)} < \frac{1}{1 - \varepsilon},$$

and

$$|1 - z\overline{a}|^2 |\varphi_a(z)|^2 < \frac{\varepsilon}{1 - \varepsilon} (1 - |a|^2)(1 - |z|^2).$$

Hence

$$|1 - z\bar{a}||\varphi_a(z)|^2 < \frac{\varepsilon}{1 - \varepsilon}(1 - |z|^2).$$

In particular, we deduce that provided ε is small enough, there exists a constant $C > 0$, such that if $w \in U_\varepsilon(z)$, we have that $\frac{1}{C}(1 - |z|^2) \leq (1 - |w|^2) \leq C(1 - |z|^2)$.

Definition 2.2. We say that a weight w is in $A_p(\mathbf{B}^n)$, $1 < p < +\infty$, if there exists $C > 0$ such that for any ball $U = U(z, R)$ in $\overline{\mathbf{B}}^n$ associated to the pseudodistance ρ ,

$$\left(\frac{1}{v(U)} \int_U w dv \right) \left(\frac{1}{v(U)} \int_U w^{-(p'-1)} dv \right)^{p-1} \leq C.$$

In the following lemma, we will obtain a characterization of weights in $A_p(\mathbf{B}^n)$ in terms of their "lifting" to $\mathbf{S}^{n+1}$. We recall that a weight η in $\mathbf{S}^{n+1}$ is in $A_p(\mathbf{S}^{n+1})$ if there exists $C > 0$ such that for any nonisotropic ball $B(\zeta, R) = \{z \in \mathbf{S}^{n+1}; |1 - \zeta\bar{z}| < R\}$, $\zeta \in \mathbf{S}^{n+1}$, $R > 0$,

$$\left(\frac{1}{|B(\zeta, R)|} \int_{B(\zeta, R)} \eta d\sigma \right) \left(\frac{1}{|B(\zeta, R)|} \int_{B(\zeta, R)} \eta^{-(p'-1)} d\sigma \right)^{p-1} \leq C.$$

Lemma 2.3. Let $1 < p < +\infty$, $n \geq 1$, and w be a weight in $\mathbf{B}^n$. We then have that w is an $A_p(\mathbf{B}^n)$ weight if and only if the lifted weight w_l defined by $w_l(z_1, \dots, z_{n+1}) = w(z_1, \dots, z_n)$ is an A_p weight in $\mathbf{S}^{n+1}$.

Proof of lemma 2.3:

We begin proving that if w is an $A_p(\mathbf{B}^n)$ -weight, then w_l is an A^p weight in $\mathbf{S}^{n+1}$. We recall that we have denoted by U the balls in $\mathbf{B}^n$ with respect to the pseudodistance ρ , and we will denote by $B(z, R) = \{y \in \mathbf{S}^{n+1}; |1 - z\bar{y}| < R\}$, the ball in $\mathbf{S}^{n+1}$ of center $z = (z_1, \dots, z_{n+1}) \in \mathbf{S}^{n+1}$ and radius R .

We consider first the particular case where $z_{n+1} = 0$, i.e. the center of the ball $B(z, R)$ lies on $\overline{\mathbf{B}}^n$. By a suitable change of variables we may assume that $z = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$. Then $\Pi^{-1}(U((1, 0, \dots, 0), R)) = \{y \in \mathbf{S}^{n+1}; |1 - y_1| < R\} = B(z, R)$, and consequently,

$$\int_{B(z, R)} w_l d\sigma = \int_{\Pi^{-1}(U((1, 0, \dots, 0), R))} w_l d\sigma = \int_{U((1, 0, \dots, 0), R)} w dv,$$

and the same argument holds for $w_l^{-(p'-1)}$. These estimates, together with the fact that $w \in A_p(\mathbf{B}^n)$ and $v(P(1, 0, \dots, 0), R) \simeq R^{n+1}$, give that

$$\frac{1}{R^{n+1}} \int_{B(z, R)} w_l d\sigma \left(\frac{1}{R^{n+1}} \int_{B(z, R)} w_l^{-(p'-1)} d\sigma \right)^{\frac{1}{p'-1}} \leq C.$$

In fact, this argument can be applied to nonisotropic balls $B(z, R)$ in $\mathbf{S}^{n+1}$ satisfying that $d(z, \mathbf{S}^n) \leq R$, where $\mathbf{S}^n$ is the boundary of $\overline{\mathbf{B}}^n$. We just have to observe that in this case the ball $B(z, R)$ is included in a nonisotropic ball in $\mathbf{S}^{n+1}$ whose center lies in $\mathbf{S}^n$ and whose radius is comparable to R .

So we may assume that $R \leq d(z, \mathbf{S}^n)$. Without loss of generality we also may assume that $z = (r, 0, \dots, 0, \sqrt{1 - r^2}e^{i\theta})$, for some $0 < r < 1$, $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$. The fact

that $R \leq d(z, \mathbf{S}^n)$ gives that $R \leq 1 - r^2$, and consequently that $v(U((r, 0, \dots, 0), R)) \simeq R^n(1 - r^2)$.

If we denote by T the unitary map in $\mathbf{C}^{n+1}$ given by

$$T(y_1, \dots, y_{n+1}) = \begin{pmatrix} r & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -e^{-i\theta}\sqrt{1-r^2} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \sqrt{1-r^2}e^{i\theta} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & r \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \vdots \\ y_{n+1} \end{pmatrix},$$

we have that $T(1, 0, \dots, 0) = z$, and consequently, $T(B((1, 0, \dots, 0), R)) = B(z, R)$. Since $B((1, 0, \dots, 0), R) = \{(y, y_{n+1}) = (y_1, \dots, y_n, \sqrt{1-|y|^2}e^{i\varphi}); 0 \leq \varphi < 2\pi, |1 - y_1| < R\}$, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi(B(z, R)) &= \Pi(T(B((1, 0, \dots, 0), R))) \\ &= \{(ry_1 - e^{i(\varphi-\theta)}\sqrt{1-r^2}\sqrt{1-|y|^2}, y_2, \dots, y_n); \\ &0 \leq \varphi < 2\pi, |1 - y_1| < R, |y_2|^2 + \cdots |y_n|^2 < 1 - |y_1|^2\}. \end{aligned}$$

This description of the set $\Pi(B(z, R))$ gives that $|ry_1 - e^{i(\varphi-\theta)}\sqrt{1-r^2}\sqrt{1-|y|^2} - r| \leq R + \sqrt{1-r^2}R^{\frac{1}{2}}$, and $|y_k| \leq R^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Hence, Lemma 2.1 gives that

$$(2.2) \quad \Pi(B(z, R)) \subset U((r, 0, \dots, 0), CR).$$

On the other hand, let $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in U((r, 0, \dots, 0), CR)$, and let $y^\tau = (y_1, \dots, y_n, \sqrt{1-|y|^2}e^{i\tau})$ be a point in $\Pi^{-1}(y)$. The definition of the pseudodistance ρ gives that there exists a point in $\Pi^{-1}((r, 0, \dots, 0))$ at distance from the point y^τ less than or equal to CR . This holds if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} CR &\geq \min_{\varphi} |1 - y_1r - \sqrt{1-|y|^2}\sqrt{1-r^2}e^{i(\tau-\varphi)}| = \\ &|1 - y_1r| - \sqrt{1-|y|^2}\sqrt{1-r^2} = \rho((r, 0, \dots, 0), y). \end{aligned}$$

Summarizing, the projection of the points in $\mathbf{S}^{n+1}$ at distance less than R from the set $\Pi^{-1}((r, 0, \dots, 0))$ is included in $U((r, 0, \dots, 0), CR)$, and on the other hand, the ball $U((r, 0, \dots, 0), R)$ is included in the projection of the set of points in $\mathbf{S}^{n+1}$ at distance from $\Pi^{-1}((r, 0, \dots, 0))$ less than CR .

Next, this set of points of $\mathbf{S}^{n+1}$ at distance less than R from the set $\Pi^{-1}((r, 0, \dots, 0))$ is included in a union of balls of radius R in a number which is of the order of $\lceil \frac{1-|z|^2}{R} \rceil$, and includes the same number of disjoint balls of radius comparable to R . The integral of the lifted weight w_l on each of this balls is equivalent.

Altogether we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1-r^2}{R} \int_{B((r,0,\dots,0,\sqrt{1-r^2}e^{i\theta}),R)} w_l d\sigma &\simeq \int_{\Pi^{-1}(U((r,0,\dots,0),CR))} w_l d\sigma = \\ &\int_{U((r,0,\dots,0),CR)} w dv, \end{aligned}$$

and, since in the case we are now considering $v(U((r,0,\dots,0),CR)) \simeq R^n(1-r^2)$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{R^{n+1}} \int_{B((r,0,\dots,0,\sqrt{1-r^2}e^{i\theta}),R)} w_l d\sigma &\simeq \frac{1}{(1-|z|^2)R^n} \int_{U((r,0,\dots,0),CR)} w dv \\ &\simeq \frac{1}{v(U((r,0,\dots,0),CR))} \int_{U((r,0,\dots,0),CR)} w dv, \end{aligned}$$

with a similar estimate for $w_l^{-(p'-1)}$. Hence, we have proved that if $w \in A_p(\mathbf{B}^n)$, then w_l is an A_p weight in $\mathbf{S}^{n+1}$.

Let now w be a weight in $\mathbf{B}^n$ satisfying that w_l is an A_p weight in $\mathbf{S}^{n+1}$. The argument we have used before shows that if $z \in \overline{\mathbf{B}^n}$, $R > 0$ and $U(z, R)$ is a ball in $\mathbf{B}^n$, such that $1-|z|^2 \leq R$, we can reduce ourselves to the case where the point z is in $\mathbf{S}^n$. Then $U(z, R)$ is just a tent centered at a point z in $\mathbf{S}^n$, and $U(z, R) = B((z, 0), R)$. Consequently, w satisfies the $A_p(\mathbf{B}^n)$ condition for these class of balls.

If $R < 1-|z|^2$, $v(U(z, R)) \simeq R^n(1-|z|^2)$, and again the argument used before gives then that for any θ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{R^n(1-|z|^2)} \int_{U(z,R)} w dv &\simeq \frac{1}{R^n(1-|z|^2)} \int_{\Pi^{-1}(U(z,R))} w_l d\sigma \\ &\simeq \frac{1}{R^n(1-|z|^2)} \frac{1-|z|^2}{R} \int_{B((z,\sqrt{1-|z|^2}e^{i\theta}),CR)} w_l d\sigma = \frac{1}{R^{n+1}} \int_{B((z,\sqrt{1-|z|^2}e^{i\theta}),CR)} w_l d\sigma, \end{aligned}$$

with a similar relationship for $w^{-(p'-1)}$. Since w_l is an A_p weight in $\mathbf{S}^{n+1}$, we are done. $\square$

The following result gives examples of $A_p(\mathbf{B}^n)$ weights obtained from weights in $\mathbf{S}^n$.

Lemma 2.4. *Assume $w \in A_p(\mathbf{S}^n)$. Then the weight defined by*

$$\tilde{w}(z) = \frac{1}{(1-|z|^2)^n} \int_{I_z} w(\zeta) d\sigma(\zeta),$$

$z \in \mathbf{B}^n$, where $I_z = \{\zeta \in \mathbf{S}^n; |1 - \frac{z}{|z|}\bar{\zeta}| \leq c(1-|z|^2)\}$, $c > 0$, is in $A_p(\mathbf{B}^n)$.

Proof of lemma 2.4:

We want to show that there exists $C > 0$ such that if $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$, and $U = U(a, R) = \{\eta \in \mathbf{B}^n; \rho(\eta, a) < R\}$, then

$$\frac{1}{v(U)} \int_U \tilde{w}(z) dv(z) \left(\frac{1}{v(U)} \int_U \tilde{w}^{-(p'-1)}(z) dv(z) \right)^{\frac{-1}{p'-1}} \leq C.$$

As in the previous lemma, assume first that $1 - |a| \leq \frac{1}{\delta}R$, $\delta > 0$ to be chosen. We can reduce this case to the one where $a = (a_1, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbf{S}^n$. We then have that if $z \in U$ and $\zeta \in I_z$, then $\zeta \in U(a, CR)$, for some fixed constant $C > 0$. Indeed, the fact that $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in U(a, R)$ gives (see lemma 2.1) that $\sum_{i=2}^n |z_i|^2 \preceq R$ and $||z_1|^2 - |a_1|^2| \preceq (R + R^{\frac{1}{2}}(1 - |a_1|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}) \simeq R$. In particular, we deduce that $1 - |z| \preceq R$, and

$$|1 - \zeta \bar{a}| = \rho(\zeta, a) \preceq \rho(\zeta, z) + \rho(z, a) \preceq R.$$

Next, Fubini's theorem gives that if $D_\alpha(\zeta) = \{z \in \mathbf{B}^n; |1 - z\bar{\zeta}| < \alpha(1 - |z|^2)\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{v(U)} \int_U \tilde{w}(z) dv(z) &= \frac{1}{v(U)} \int_U \frac{1}{(1 - |z|)^n} \int_{I_z} w(\zeta) d\sigma(\zeta) dv(z) \\ &\preceq \frac{1}{R^{n+1}} \int_{B(a, CR)} w(\zeta) d\sigma(\zeta) \int_{U(a, R) \cap D_\alpha(\zeta)} \frac{dv(z)}{(1 - |z|)^n} \simeq \frac{1}{R^n} \int_{B(a, R)} w(\zeta) d\sigma(\zeta), \end{aligned}$$

where $D_\alpha(\zeta) = \{z \in \mathbf{B}^n; |1 - z\bar{\zeta}| < c(1 - |z|^2)\}$, and we have used that if $\zeta \in I_z$, $|1 - z\bar{\zeta}| \preceq (1 - |z|)$. An analogous argument to the one we have used in last lemma applied to $\tilde{w}^{\frac{-1}{p-1}}$ finishes this case.

If $R \leq \delta(1 - |a|)$, we have that for any $z \in U$, $\tilde{w}(z) \simeq \tilde{w}(a)$, and consequently, the A_p condition in this case is obvious. $\square$

Remark 2.5. *This type of weights $\tilde{w}$ appear in a natural way if one identifies weighted holomorphic Besov spaces with weighted holomorphic Triebel-Lizorkin spaces HF_s^{pq} , $p = q$. We recall, that if $s \geq 0$, $[s]^+$ denotes the integer part of s plus 1 and $1 < p < +\infty$, the weighted holomorphic Triebel-Lizorkin space $HF_s^{pp}(w)$ is the space of holomorphic functions f in $\mathbf{B}^n$ for which*

$$\begin{aligned} ||f||_{HF_s^{pp}(w)} &= \\ &\left(\int_{\mathbf{S}^n} \left(\int_{D_\alpha(\zeta)} |(I + R)^k f(z)|^q (1 - |z|^2)^{(k-s)p-1} dv(z) \right) w(\zeta) d\sigma(\zeta) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < +\infty, \end{aligned}$$

where I denotes the identity operator. Fubini's theorem gives then that

$$||f||_{HF_s^{pp}(w)} \simeq \int_{\mathbf{B}^n} |(I + R)^k f(z)|^q (1 - |z|^2)^{(k-s)p-1} \tilde{w}(z) dv(z).$$

Definition 2.6. *A weight w in $\mathbf{S}^{n+1}$ is in $D_\tau(\mathbf{S}^{n+1})$ if there exists $C > 0$ such that for any $B(\zeta, R) = \{\eta \in \mathbf{S}^{n+1}, |1 - \eta\bar{\zeta}| < R\}$, $\zeta \in \mathbf{S}^{n+1}$, $R > 0$, and $j \geq 0$, $w(B(\zeta, 2^j R)) \leq C 2^{j\tau} w(B(\zeta, R))$.*

Observe that any doubling weight w in $\mathbf{S}^{n+1}$, i.e. a weight w there exists $k > 0$ such that $w(B(\zeta, 2R)) \leq kw(B(\zeta, R))$, is in $D_\tau(\mathbf{S}^{n+1})$ for $\tau = \frac{\log k}{\log 2}$. So the fact that a weight is in $D_\tau(\mathbf{S}^{n+1})$ is related to the size of the doubling constant k .

In the proof of lemma 2.3 we have seen in fact that if w is a weight in $\mathbf{B}^n$ and w_l is the corresponding lifted weight in $\mathbf{S}^{n+1}$, then $w_l(B(z, R)) \simeq \frac{R}{R+(1-|z'|)} w_l(U(z', R))$, where $z = (z', z_{n+1}) \in \mathbf{S}^{n+1}$. It is then natural to define a weight w in $D_\tau(\mathbf{B}^n)$ as follows.

Definition 2.7. We say that a weight w in $\mathbf{B}^n$ is in $D_\tau(\mathbf{B}^n)$ for some τ , if there exists $C > 0$, such that for any $k \geq 1$, $z \in \mathbf{B}^n$ and $R > 0$,

$$(2.3) \quad w(U(z, 2^k R)) \leq C \frac{(1 - |z|^2) + 2^k R}{(1 - |z|^2) + R} 2^{k(\tau-1)} w(U(z, R)).$$

Since $v(U(z, R)) \simeq R^n(R + (1 - |z|^2))$, this condition $D_\tau(\mathbf{B}^n)$ can be rewritten as

$$\frac{w(U(z, 2^j R))}{v(U(z, 2^j R))} \leq C \frac{2^{j\tau} w(U(z, R))}{2^{j(n+1)} v(U(z, R))}.$$

In many occasions, it happens that in a natural way condition (2.3) is only satisfied for those integers k such that the ball $U(z, 2^k R)$ touches the boundary of $\mathbf{B}^n$. We then have the following definition:

Definition 2.8. We say that a weight w in $\mathbf{B}^n$ is in $d_\tau(\mathbf{B}^n)$ for some τ , if there exists $C > 0$, such that for any $k \geq 1$, $z \in \mathbf{B}^n$ and $R > 0$ satisfying that $U(z, 2^k R)$ touches $\mathbf{S}^n$,

$$(2.4) \quad w(U(z, 2^k R)) \leq C \frac{R}{(1 - |z|^2) + R} 2^{k\tau} w(U(z, R)).$$

As we have already observed, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.9. A weight w is in $D_\tau(\mathbf{B}^n)$, $\tau > 0$, if and only if the lifted weight w_l is in $D_\tau(\mathbf{S}^{n+1})$.

Proof of lemma 2.9:

Let $z = (z', z_{n+1}) \in \mathbf{S}^{n+1}$, $R > 0$ and $j \geq 1$. If $1 - |z'|^2 \leq R$,

$$w_l(B(z, 2^j R)) \simeq w(U(z', 2^j R)) \preceq 2^{j\tau} w(U(z', R)) \simeq 2^{j\tau} w_l(B(z, R)).$$

If $1 - |z'|^2 > 2^j R$, we have that (see the proof of lemma 2.3) $w_l(B(z, 2^j R)) \simeq \frac{2^j R}{1 - |z'|^2} w(U(z', 2^j R))$, and $w_l(B(z, R)) \simeq \frac{R}{1 - |z'|^2} w(U(z', R))$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} w_l(B(z, 2^j R)) &\simeq \frac{2^j R}{1 - |z'|^2} w(U(z', 2^j R)) \\ &\preceq \frac{2^j R}{1 - |z'|^2} 2^{j\tau} w(U(z', R)) \simeq 2^{j\tau} w_l(B(z, R)). \end{aligned}$$

If $1 - |z'|^2 > R$, and $1 - |z'|^2 \leq 2^j R$, we have that (see Lemma 2.3) $w_l(B(z, 2^j R)) \simeq w(U(z', 2^j R))$, and $w_l(B(z, R)) \simeq \frac{R}{1 - |z'|^2} w(U(z', R))$. Hence

$$w_l(B(z, 2^j R)) \simeq w(U(z', 2^j R)) \preceq \frac{2^j R}{1 - |z'|^2} 2^{j\tau} w(U(z', R)) \preceq 2^{j\tau} w_l(B(z, R)).$$

The other implication is proved in a simmilar way. $\square$

Observe that if $w \equiv 1$, i.e., if w is Lebesgue measure on $\mathbf{B}^n$, then $w \in D_\tau$, $\tau = n + 1$. The following simple lemma will show that without loss of generality we always may assume that $\tau \geq n + 1$.

Lemma 2.10. *If w is a non identically zero weight in $L^1(\mathbf{B}^n)$ which is in d_τ , then $\tau \geq n + 1$.*

Proof of lemma 2.10:

Assume $\tau < n + 1$, and let $U \subset \mathbf{B}^n$ a ball in $\mathbf{B}^n$ that touches $\mathbf{S}^n$. The doubling condition on w gives that for any $k \geq 1$, $w(U) \leq 2^{k\tau} w(2^{-k}U)$. Consequently,

$$(2.5) \quad 2^{k(n+1-\tau)} w(U) \leq \frac{w(2^{-k}U)}{2^{-k(n+1)}}.$$

The differentiation theorem (see for instance Theorem 5.3.1 in [Ru]) gives that for almost $z \in \mathbf{B}^n$, $\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{w(2^{-k}U)}{2^{-kn}} \simeq 1$. Since we are assuming that $\tau < n + 1$, this gives a contradiction with (2.5). $\square$

Definition 2.11. *We say that a weight ω is in $B_p(\mathbf{B}^n)$ (see [Be]) if there exists $C > 0$ such that for any ball $U(z, R)$ that touches $\mathbf{S}^n$, i.e., $\overline{U(z, R)} \cap \mathbf{S}^n \neq \emptyset$,*

$$\frac{1}{v(U(z, R))} \int_{U(z, R)} \omega dv \left(\frac{1}{v(U(z, R))} \int_{U(z, R)} \omega^{-(p'-1)} dv \right)^{p-1} \leq C.$$

Obviously, any $A_p(\mathbf{B}^n)$ weight satisfies the condition $B_p(\mathbf{B}^n)$.

We next observe that any weight $w \in B^p(\mathbf{B}^n)$ is in d_τ for $\tau = p(n + 1)$.

Lemma 2.12. *Let $1 < p < +\infty$ and $w \in B^p(\mathbf{B}^n)$. There exists $C > 0$, such that for any $k \geq 1$, $z \in \mathbf{B}^n$ and $R > 0$ satisfying that $U(z, 2^k R)$ touches $\mathbf{S}^n$,*

$$w(U(z, 2^k R)) \leq C \left(\frac{R}{(1 - |z|^2) + R} \right)^p 2^{k\tau} w(U(z, R)) \leq C \frac{R}{(1 - |z|^2) + R} 2^{k\tau} w(U(z, R)),$$

where $\tau = p(n + 1)$.

Proof of lemma 2.12:

Let $z \in \mathbf{B}^n$, $R > 0$, $k \geq 1$ such that $U(z, 2^k R)$ touches $\mathbf{S}^n$. We then have that by Hölder's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} v(U(z, R)) &= \int_{U(z, 2^k R)} \chi_{U(z, R)}(y) dv(y) = \int_{U(z, 2^k R)} \chi_{U(z, R)}(y) w(y)^{\frac{1}{p}} w(y)^{-\frac{1}{p}} dv(y) \\ &\leq \left(\int_{U(z, R)} \omega(y) dv(y) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_{U(z, 2^k R)} \omega^{-(p'-1)}(y) dv(y) \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \\ &\preceq \left(\int_{U(z, R)} \omega(y) dv(y) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_{U(z, 2^k R)} \omega(y) dv(y) \right)^{-\frac{1}{p}} v(U(z, 2^k R)), \end{aligned}$$

where in last inequality we have used the fact that $w \in B_p(\mathbf{B}^n)$. Thus we deduce that

$$(2.6) \quad w(U(z, 2^k R)) \leq C \left(\frac{v(U(z, 2^k R))}{v(U(z, R))} \right)^p w(U(z, R)).$$

But lemma 2.1 together with the fact that $U(z, 2^k R)$ touches $\mathbf{S}^n$, gives that

$$\frac{v(U(z, 2^k R))}{v(U(z, R))} \simeq \frac{(2^k R)^n (2^k R + (1 - |z|^2))}{R^n (R + (1 - |z|^2))} \preceq \frac{(2^k R)^{n+1}}{R^n (R + (1 - |z|^2))} \preceq 2^{k(n+1)} \frac{R}{R + (1 - |z|^2)}.$$

Plugging the above inequality in (2.6), we deduce that

$$w(U(z, 2^k R)) \leq C \left(\frac{R}{(1 - |z|^2) + R} \right)^p 2^{k\tau} w(U(z, R)),$$

with $\tau = (n + 1)p$. $\square$

As a consequence of last lemma, we have an equivalent definition of $B_p(\mathbf{B}^n)$ weights which coincides with the weights in $B_p(\mathbf{B}^n)$ introduced in [Be]: a weight w is in $B_p(\mathbf{B}^n)$ if there exists $C > 0$ such that for any tent $T(\zeta, R) = \{z \in \mathbf{B}^n; |1 - z\bar{\zeta}| \leq R\}$, $\zeta \in \mathbf{S}^n$,

$$\frac{1}{v(T(\zeta, R))} \int_{T(\zeta, R)} \omega dv \left(\frac{1}{v(T(\zeta, R))} \int_{T(\zeta, R)} \omega^{-(p'-1)} dv \right)^{p-1} \leq C.$$

This observation is a consequence of the fact that if a ball $U(\zeta, R)$ touches $\mathbf{S}^n$, then it is included in a tent of radius comparable to R , and conversely, a tent of radius R is included in a ball that touches $\mathbf{S}^n$ of comparable radius.

The weights in $B_p(\mathbf{B}^n)$ are characterized as the ones for which the Bergman projector B given by

$$Bf(z) = \int_{\mathbf{B}^n} \frac{f(y)}{(1 - z\bar{y})^{n+1}} dv(y),$$

is a continuous operator from $L^p(w)$ to itself (see [Be]).

Let's give some examples of weights in $B_p(\mathbf{B}^n)$ or in $d_\tau(\mathbf{B}^n)$.

Proposition 2.13. *Let $1 < p < +\infty$, and let $\varphi : (0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be a nonnegative monotone function, $C > 0$, $\alpha > 0$, satisfying one of the following alternative assumptions:*

- (i) φ is nondecreasing, and $\varphi(2^k x) \leq C 2^{\alpha k} \varphi(x)$.
- (ii) φ is nonincreasing, and $\varphi(x) \leq C 2^{k\alpha} \varphi(2^k x)$.

Let $w_\varphi(z) = \varphi(1 - |z|)$. We then have:

- (a) The weight w_φ is in $B_p(\mathbf{B}^n)$ if and only if $0 < \alpha < p - 1$ if φ is in case (i) or $0 < \alpha < 1$ if it is in case (ii).
- (b) The weight w_φ is in d_τ if φ is case (i) and $\tau > n + \alpha + 1$ or φ is in case (ii) and $\tau \geq n + 1$.

Proof of proposition 2.13:

Fubini's theorem gives that

$$(2.7) \quad w_\varphi(U(z, R)) = \int_{U(z, R)} \varphi(1 - |y|) dv(y) \simeq R^{n-1} (R + R^{\frac{1}{2}}(1 - |z|)^{\frac{1}{2}}) \int_{(1 - |z|)}^{(1 - |z|) + (R + R^{\frac{1}{2}}(1 - |z|)^{\frac{1}{2}})} \varphi(t) dt.$$

We will show that if φ satisfies the hypothesis in (a), then the weight w_φ is in $B_p(\mathbf{B}^n)$. If $T(z, R)$ is a tent, (2.7) gives that $w_\varphi(T(z, R)) \simeq R^n \int_0^R \varphi(t) dt$, and consequently, it is enough to show that

$$\frac{1}{R} \int_0^R \varphi(t) dt \left(\frac{1}{R} \int_0^R \varphi^{-(p'-1)}(t) dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p'-1}} \leq C.$$

Assume first that φ is nondecreasing. We then have that for any $R > 0$,

$$\varphi\left(\frac{R}{2}\right)R \leq \int_{\frac{R}{2}}^R \varphi(t)dt \leq \int_0^R \varphi(t)dt \leq R\varphi(R).$$

Since $\varphi(2x) \simeq \varphi(x)$, we obtain that $\int_0^R \varphi(t)dt \simeq R\varphi(R)$.

Next,

$$\int_0^R \varphi^{-(p'-1)}(t)dt = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \int_{\frac{R}{2^{k+1}}}^{\frac{R}{2^k}} \varphi^{-(p'-1)}(t)dt \simeq \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} 2^{-k} R \varphi\left(\frac{R}{2^k}\right)^{-(p'-1)}.$$

Thus, it suffices to check that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} 2^{-k} \varphi\left(\frac{R}{2^k}\right)^{-(p'-1)} \preceq \frac{1}{\varphi(R)^{p'-1}}.$$

If we denote $a_{k+m} = 2^{-k} \varphi\left(\frac{R}{2^k}\right)^{-(p'-1)}$, the above is equivalent to show that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} a_{m+k} \preceq a_m,$$

for any $m \geq 0$. But such condition it turns to be equivalent to

$$a_{m+k} \preceq \frac{a_m}{(1+\delta)^k},$$

for some $\delta > 0$ (see for example subsection 5.4 in [ArRoSa1]). And that is a restatement of the doubling condition satisfied by φ .

If φ is nonincreasing and such that $\varphi(2x) \simeq \varphi(x)$, $\varphi^{-(p'-1)}$ is nondecreasing and satisfies that $\varphi^{-(p'-1)}(2x) \simeq \varphi^{-(p'-1)}(x)$. Hence, the above argument shows that if

$$\varphi^{-(p'-1)}(2x) \preceq (2-\varepsilon)^{k(p'-1)} \varphi^{-(p'-1)}(x),$$

i.e., if $\varphi(x) \preceq (2-\varepsilon)^k \varphi(2x)$ then $w_{\varphi^{-(p'-1)}}(z) = \varphi(1-|z|)^{-(p'-1)} \in B_{p'}(\mathbf{B}^n)$. And that is equivalent to say that $w \in B_p(\mathbf{B}^n)$.

The other implication is proved in a similar way.

We now prove (b). We want to show that under the conditions in (b), $w_\varphi \in d_\tau(\mathbf{B}^n)$, that is

$$w_\varphi(U(z, 2^j R)) \leq C \frac{(1-|z|^2) + 2^j R}{1-|z|^2 + R} 2^{j(\tau-1)} w_\varphi(U(z, R)),$$

for any $U(z, R)$ and $j \geq 0$ such that $U(z, 2^j R)$ touches $\mathbf{S}^n$.

We first recall that if $M \leq \frac{x}{2}$, we have that for any $t \in [x-M, x+M]$, $\frac{x}{2} \leq t \leq \frac{3x}{2}$, and consequently, $\int_{x-M}^{x+M} \varphi(t)dt \simeq \varphi(x)M$. If on contrary, $M \geq \frac{x}{2}$, then

$$\int_{x-M}^{x+M} \varphi(t)dt \simeq M\varphi(M).$$

Let $z \in \mathbf{B}^n$, $R > 0$ and $j \geq 1$. We consider two different possibilities:

- (1) $\frac{(1-|z|^2)}{2} \leq R$.
- (2) $R \leq \frac{(1-|z|^2)}{2} \leq 2^j R$.

If $\frac{(1-|z|^2)}{2} \leq R$, (2.7) and the above considerations give easily that $w_\varphi(U(z, 2^j R)) \simeq (2^j R)^{n+1} \varphi(2^j R)$, and $w_\varphi(U(z, R)) \simeq R^{n+1} \varphi(R)$. Thus the condition $d_\tau(\mathbf{B}^n)$ is fulfilled provided

$$(2^j R)^{(n+1)} \varphi(2^j R) \preceq 2^j 2^{j(\tau-1)} R^{n+1} \varphi(R),$$

condition that is equivalent to

$$\varphi(2^j R) \preceq 2^{j(\tau-n-1)} \varphi(R).$$

And the conditions on τ and φ give that this estimate is satisfied .

If $R \leq \frac{(1-|z|^2)}{2} \leq 2^j R$. An argument analogous to case (1), gives now that $w_\varphi(U(z, R)) \simeq R^n (1 - |z|^2) \varphi(1 - |z|)$. Hence it is enough to check in this case that

$$(2^j R)^{(n+1)} \varphi(2^j R) \preceq \frac{2^j R}{(1 - |z|^2)} 2^{j(\tau-1)} R^n (1 - |z|^2) \varphi(1 - |z|),$$

i.e., $\varphi(2^j R) \preceq 2^{j(\tau-n-1)} \varphi(1 - |z|)$. And this estimate is a consequence on the hypothesis on φ . $\square$

Corollary 2.14. *If $w_\alpha(z) = (1 - |z|)^\alpha$, $-1 < \alpha < p - 1$, then the weight w_α is in $B_p(\mathbf{B}^n)$. If $0 \leq \alpha < p - 1$ and $\tau = n + \alpha + 1$, or if $-1 < p < 0$ and $\tau = n + 1$, then $w_\alpha \in d_\tau(\mathbf{B}^n)$.*

The techniques we will apply in order to work with weighted holomorphic Besov spaces in $\mathbf{B}^n$ require that the weights w are in $A_p(\mathbf{B}^n) \cap D_\tau(\mathbf{B}^n)$. The purpose of the following pair of technical results is to show that we can in fact weaken these conditions and impose that the weight w is in the bigger class $B_p(\mathbf{B}^n) \cap d_\tau(\mathbf{B}^n)$. The way to achieve this is via the regularisations of the weights.

Definition 2.15. *If w is a weight in $\mathbf{B}^n$, $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ and $U_\varepsilon(z) = U(z, \varepsilon(1 - |z|^2))$, we define*

$$R_\varepsilon w(z) = \frac{1}{v(U_\varepsilon(z))} \int_{U_\varepsilon(z)} w(\eta) dv(\eta).$$

Remark 2.16. *As an immediate consequence of lemma 2.1 and lemma 2.12, we have that all the regularisations are equivalent, that is, if $\varepsilon, \varepsilon' > 0$, $R_\varepsilon w(z) \simeq R_{\varepsilon'} w(z)$, for any $z \in \mathbf{B}^n$, with constants that do not depend on z . We just have to observe that if $\varepsilon > 0$ is fixed, there exists $C > \varepsilon$ such that $U(z, C(1 - |z|))$ touches $\mathbf{S}^n$. The fact that w satisfies a doubling condition gives that $w(U_\varepsilon) \simeq w(U(z, C(1 - |z|^2)))$.*

Observe that the regularisation of a weight w satisfies that $R_\varepsilon(R_\varepsilon w) \simeq R_\varepsilon w$.

It is worthwhile to recall that analogous regularisations were already considered among others by [Be] and [Lu1], where the balls $U_\varepsilon^d(z) = \{\eta \in \mathbf{B}^n; d(z, \zeta) < \varepsilon(1 - |z|^2)\}$ were defined with respect to the pseudodistance $d(z, \zeta) = ||z| - |\zeta|| + |1 - (z\bar{\zeta})|/|z||\zeta||$.

Lemma 2.17. *Let $1 < p < +\infty$ and assume that w is a weight in $B_p(\mathbf{B}^n)$. Then the weight*

$$R_\varepsilon w(z) = \frac{1}{v(U_\varepsilon(z))} \int_{U_\varepsilon(z)} w(\eta) dv(\eta),$$

is in $A_p(\mathbf{B}^n)$.

Proof of lemma 2.17:

We want to show that there exists $C > 0$ such that for any ball $U = U(a, R) = \{\eta \in \mathbf{B}^n; \rho(\eta, a) < R\}$ associated to the pseudodistance ρ ,

$$\left(\frac{1}{v(U)} \int_U R_\varepsilon w dv \right) \left(\frac{1}{v(U)} \int_U (R_\varepsilon w)^{-(p'-1)} dv \right)^{\frac{1}{p'-1}} \leq C.$$

As we have already observed, without loss of generality we may assume that $\varepsilon > 0$ is small enough, since for every $\varepsilon, \varepsilon' > 0$, then $R_\varepsilon w \simeq R_{\varepsilon'} w$.

Suppose first that $\delta(1 - |a|^2) \leq R$, $\delta > 0$ to be chosen later on. In this case, Lemma 2.1 gives that $v(U) \simeq R^{n+1}$. Since we also have that in that case $U(a, R)$ is included in a ball in $\overline{\mathbf{B}}^n$ centered at a point in $\mathbf{S}^n$ of radius comparable to R , we also may assume without loss of generality that $a \in \mathbf{S}^n$, and that $U = U(a, CR)$, $C > 0$. In particular we have that for any $\eta \in U(a, R)$, $1 - |\eta|^2 \leq R$. Thus if $z \in U(a, CR)$ and $y \in U_\varepsilon(z)$, $y \in U(a, CR)$, and Fubini's theorem gives that

$$(2.8) \quad \int_{U(a, CR)} R_\varepsilon w(z) dv(z) \simeq \int_{U(a, CR)} \frac{1}{(1 - |z|^2)^{n+1}} \int_{U_\varepsilon(z)} w(y) dv(y) dv(z).$$

Next, if $y \in U_\varepsilon(z)$, and $\varepsilon > 0$ is small enough, there exists $\varepsilon' < 1$ such that $z \in U_{\varepsilon'}(y)$, and $1 - |z|^2 \simeq 1 - |y|^2$. Thus (2.8) is bounded by

$$\int_{U(a, CR)} w(y) \frac{1}{(1 - |y|^2)^{n+1}} \int_{U_{\varepsilon'}(y)} dv(z) dv(y) \leq \int_{U(a, CR)} w(y) dv(y).$$

In order to estimate the integral involving $(R_\varepsilon w)^{-(p'-1)}$, we use the fact that $w \in B^p(\mathbf{B}^n)$ and Hölder's inequality to get that,

$$\frac{1}{v(U_\varepsilon(z))} \int_{U_\varepsilon(z)} w(y) dv(y) \left(\frac{1}{v(U_\varepsilon(z))} \int_{U_\varepsilon(z)} w^{-(p'-1)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p'-1}} \simeq 1.$$

Consequently

$$R_\varepsilon w \simeq \left(R_\varepsilon (w^{-(p'-1)}) \right)^{\frac{-1}{p'-1}}.$$

This gives that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{v(U(a, CR))} \int_{U(a, CR)} (R_\varepsilon w(z))^{-(p'-1)} dv(z) \\ & \simeq \frac{1}{v(U(a, CR))} \int_{U(a, CR)} R_\varepsilon (w^{-(p'-1)})(z) dv(z), \end{aligned}$$

and the argument in (2.8) applied to $R_\varepsilon (w^{-(p'-1)})$ together with the fact that $w \in B_p(\mathbf{B}^n)$, gives that in case $1 - |a| \leq \frac{1}{\delta} R$, then

$$\frac{1}{v(U(a, R))} \int_{U(a, R)} R_\varepsilon w(z) dv(z) \left(\frac{1}{v(B(a, R))} \int_{B(a, R)} (R_\varepsilon w)^{-(p'-1)}(z) dv(z) \right)^{\frac{1}{p'-1}} \leq C.$$

Assume next that $R \leq \delta(1 - |a|^2)$, and δ is small enough. In that case, for any $z \in U(a, R)$, $1 - |z|^2 \simeq 1 - |a|^2$, and consequently $R_\varepsilon w(z) \simeq R_\varepsilon w(a)$ for any $z \in U(a, R)$.

This is a direct consequence of the fact that w is doubling and that if $z \in U(a, R)$, there exists $\varepsilon', \varepsilon'' > 0$ such that $U_\varepsilon(z) \subset U_{\varepsilon'}(a) \subset U_{\varepsilon''}(z)$. $\square$

Lemma 2.18. *If w is a doubling weight in $\mathbf{B}^n$, its regularisation $R_\varepsilon w$ also satisfies a doubling condition.*

Proof of lemma 2.18:

Assume that there exists $C > 0$ such that for any $z \in \mathbf{B}^n$, and $R > 0$,

$$w(U(z, 2R)) \leq Cw(U(z, R)).$$

We want to check that $R_\varepsilon w$ satisfies a similar condition. Let $z \in \mathbf{B}^n$ and $R > 0$, and assume first that $R \leq \delta(1 - |z|^2)$, with $\delta > 0$ small enough so that for any $y \in U(z, 2R)$, $1 - |y|^2 \simeq 1 - |z|^2$. We then have that

$$\begin{aligned} R_\varepsilon w(U(z, 2R)) &= \int_{U(z, 2R)} R_\varepsilon w(\eta) dv(\eta) \simeq R_\varepsilon w(z) v(U(z, 2R)) \\ &\simeq R_\varepsilon w(z) R^n (1 - |z|^2) \simeq \int_{U(z, R)} R_\varepsilon w(\eta) dv(\eta). \end{aligned}$$

If $\delta(1 - |z|) \leq R$, Fubini's theorem and the fact that w satisfies a doubling condition give

$$\begin{aligned} R_\varepsilon w(U(z, 2R)) &= \int_{U(z, 2R)} \frac{1}{(1 - |z|^2)^{n+1}} \int_{U_\varepsilon(z)} w(y) dv(y) dv(z) \\ &\preceq \int_{U(z, CR)} w(y) \frac{1}{(1 - |z|^2)^{n+1}} \int_{U_{\varepsilon'}(y)} dv(z) dv(y) \preceq \int_{U(z, R)} w(y) dv(y) \\ &\simeq \int_{U(z, R)} w(y) \frac{1}{(1 - |z|^2)^{n+1}} \int_{U_{\varepsilon'}(y)} dv(z) dv(y) \simeq R_\varepsilon w(U(z, R)). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 2.19. *Let $1 < p < +\infty$, $\tau \geq n + 1$, and assume that w is a weight satisfying that $w \in d_\tau(\mathbf{B}^n)$. Then the weight $R_\varepsilon w$ is in $D_\tau(\mathbf{B}^n)$.*

Proof of proposition 2.19:

The hypothesis on w gives that for any $z \in \mathbf{B}^n$, $j \geq 0$ and $R > 0$, such that $U(z, 2^j R)$ touches $\mathbf{S}^n$, then

$$w(U(z, 2^j R)) \preceq \frac{(1 - |z|^2) + 2^j R}{(1 - |z|^2) + R} 2^{j(\tau-1)} w(U(z, R)).$$

In order to check that $R_\varepsilon w \in D_\tau(\mathbf{B}^n)$, given $z \in \mathbf{B}^n$, $j \geq 0$ and $R > 0$, we will consider the following three possibilities:

- (a) $2^{j-1} R \leq \delta(1 - |z|^2)$.
- (b) $R < \delta(1 - |z|^2) \leq 2^{j-1} R$.
- (c) $\delta(1 - |z|^2) < R$.

Here $\delta > 0$ is some fixed constant to be chosen later on.

We begin with case (a). Our first observation is that in that case $R_\varepsilon w(y) \simeq R_\varepsilon(z)$ for $y \in U(z, 2^{j-1} R)$. This is an immediate consequence of the doubling condition on w and the fact that if $y \in U(z, 2^{j-1} R)$, $\rho(y, z) \ll (1 - |z|^2)$, and hence $1 - |y|^2 \simeq 1 - |z|^2$.

Hence, by the preceding lemma,

$$\begin{aligned} R_\varepsilon w(U(z, 2^j R)) &\simeq R_\varepsilon w(U(z, 2^{j-1} R)) = \int_{U(z, 2^{j-1} R)} R_\varepsilon w(\eta) dv(\eta) \simeq R_\varepsilon w(z) v(U(z, 2^{j-1} R)) \\ &\simeq R_\varepsilon w(z) (2^j R)^n (1 - |z|) \simeq 2^{jn} \int_{U(z, R)} R_\varepsilon w(\eta) dv(\eta) \preceq 2^{j(\tau-1)} R_\varepsilon w(U(z, R)), \end{aligned}$$

where in last inequality we have used that $\tau \geq n+1$. This shows case (a). We consider now case (b). Let $j_0 \geq 1$ such that $2^{j_0-1} R \leq \delta(1 - |z|^2) < 2^{j_0} R$.

Fubini's theorem, and the fact that $w \in d_\tau(\mathbf{B}^n)$ gives that

$$\begin{aligned} (2.9) \quad \int_{U(z, 2^j R)} R_\varepsilon w(y) dv(y) &\simeq \int_{U(z, C2^j R)} \frac{1}{(1 - |y|^2)^{n+1}} \int_{U(y, \delta(1 - |y|^2))} w(\eta) dv(\eta) dv(y) \\ &\preceq \int_{U(z, C2^j R)} w(\eta) dv(\eta) \preceq \frac{2^{j-j_0} R + (1 - |z|^2)}{2^{j_0} R + (1 - |z|^2)} 2^{(j-j_0)(\tau-1)} \int_{U(z, 2^{j_0} R)} w(\eta) dv(\eta). \end{aligned}$$

Since $R \leq \delta(1 - |z|^2) < 2^{j_0} R$, the argument established in case (a) gives that $R_\varepsilon w$ is "frozen" on $U(z, \frac{R}{2})$. This observation, together with the fact that $R_\varepsilon w$ satisfies a doubling condition, gives that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{U(z, R)} R_\varepsilon w(\eta) dv(\eta) &\simeq \int_{U(z, \frac{R}{2})} R_\varepsilon w(\eta) dv(\eta) \simeq R_\varepsilon w(z) v(U(z, \frac{R}{2})) \\ &\simeq R_\varepsilon w(z) R^n \left(\frac{R}{2} + (1 - |z|^2) \right) \simeq \frac{R^n 2^{j_0} R}{(1 - |z|^2)^{n+1}} \int_{U(z, 2^{j_0} R)} w(\eta) dv(\eta), \end{aligned}$$

where in last estimate we have used that $R \leq \delta(1 - |z|^2) \simeq 2^{j_0} R$. Consequently, if we plug the above calculation in (2.9), we deduce that in order to prove the doubling condition in case (b) it is enough to show that

$$\frac{2^{j-j_0} R + (1 - |z|^2)}{2^{j_0} R + (1 - |z|^2)} 2^{(j-j_0)(\tau-1)} \frac{(1 - |z|^2)^{n+1}}{R^n 2^{j_0} R} \preceq \frac{2^j R + (1 - |z|^2)}{R + (1 - |z|^2)} 2^{j(\tau-1)}.$$

Now, the fact that we are in case (b) gives that $2^j R + (1 - |z|^2) \simeq 2^j R$, and $R + (1 - |z|^2) \simeq (1 - |z|^2) \simeq 2^{j_0} R$, and consequently the above estimate can be rewritten equivalently as

$$\frac{2^{j-j_0} R + (1 - |z|^2)}{2^{j_0} R} 2^{-j_0(\tau-1)} \frac{(2^{j_0} R)^{n+1}}{2^{j_0} R^{n+1}} \frac{2^{j_0} R}{2^j R} = \frac{2^{j-j_0} R + (1 - |z|^2)}{R} 2^{j_0(n+1-\tau)} 2^{-j} \preceq 1.$$

But the fact that $2^{j-j_0} R + (1 - |z|^2) \leq 2^j R + (1 - |z|^2) \simeq 2^j R$ gives that the left hand side of the above is bounded from above by

$$C \frac{2^j R}{R} 2^{j_0(n+1-\tau)} 2^{-j} = C 2^{n+1-\tau} \preceq 1,$$

since $\tau \geq n+1$.

We finally have to deal with case (c), i.e. the case where $\delta(1 - |z|^2) \leq R$. We have that if $y \in U(z, 2^{j-1} R)$, and $\eta \in U(y, \delta(1 - |z|^2))$, then $\eta \in U(z, C2^j R)$, and

consequently, Fubini's theorem gives that

$$(2.10) \quad \int_{U(z, 2^j R)} R_\varepsilon w(y) dv(y) \simeq \int_{U(z, 2^{j-1} R)} \frac{1}{(1 - |y|^2)^{n+1}} \int_{U(y, \delta(1 - |y|^2))} w(\eta) dv(\eta) dv(y)$$

$$(2.11) \quad \preceq \int_{U(z, C2^j R)} w(\eta) dv(\eta) \preceq 2^{j\tau} \int_{U(z, R)} w(\eta) dv(\eta),$$

where we have used that $w \in d_\tau$.

On the other hand, $R_\varepsilon w$ satisfies a doubling condition. Thus, if $M > 0$ is fixed, Fubini's theorem gives that

$$(2.12) \quad \int_{U(z, R)} w(\eta) dv(\eta) \simeq \int_{U(z, \delta_1 R)} w(\eta) \frac{1}{(1 - |\eta|^2)^{n+1}} \int_{U(\eta, \delta(1 - |\eta|^2))} dv(y) dv(\eta)$$

$$(2.13) \quad \preceq \int_{U(z, MR)} \frac{1}{(1 - |y|^2)^{n+1}} \int_{U(y, \delta(1 - |y|^2))} w(\eta) dv(\eta) dv(y)$$

$$(2.14) \quad \simeq \int_{U(z, MR)} R_\delta w(y) dv(y) \simeq \int_{U(z, R)} R_\varepsilon w(y) dv(y),$$

where in the second estimate we have used that since $\delta(1 - |z|^2) \leq R$, then $(1 - |\eta|^2) \preceq R$ for any $\eta \in U(z, R)$, and that if $M > 0$ is big enough, then for any $\eta \in U(z, R)$, $U(\eta, \delta(1 - |\eta|^2)) \subset U(z, MR)$. $\square$

Remark 2.20. We have shown that the regularisation $R_\varepsilon w$ of a weight w in $\mathbf{B}_p$ is in the smaller class $A_p(\mathbf{B}^n)$. In particular, $R_\varepsilon w$ satisfies a doubling condition and consequently, if $w \in B_p(\mathbf{B}^n) \cap d_\tau(\mathbf{B}^n)$, then $R_\varepsilon w \in A_p(\mathbf{B}^n) \cap D_\tau(\mathbf{B}^n)$.

We will show next that the weights $\tilde{w}$ introduced in lemma 2.4 that were obtained from weights in $A_p(\mathbf{S}^n)$ are in $A_p(\mathbf{B}^n) \cap d_{\tau+1}(\mathbf{B}^n)$ if $w \in D_\tau(\mathbf{S}^n)$.

Lemma 2.21. Assume $w \in A_p(\mathbf{S}^n) \cap D_\tau(\mathbf{S}^n)$, $\tau \geq n$. Then the weight defined by

$$\tilde{w}(z) = \frac{1}{(1 - |z|^2)^n} \int_{I_z} w(\zeta) d\sigma(\zeta),$$

$z \in \mathbf{B}^n$, is in $A_p(\mathbf{B}^n) \cap d_{\tau+1}$.

Proof of lemma 2.21:

By lemma 2.4 we know that $\tilde{w} \in A_p(\mathbf{B}^n)$, so we are left to show that $\tilde{w} \in d_{\tau+1}(\mathbf{B}^n)$. Let $U(a, 2^k R)$ be a ball in $\mathbf{B}^n$ that touches $\mathbf{S}^n$. We want to show that

$$\tilde{w}(U(a, 2^k R)) \preceq \frac{2^k R}{(1 - |z|^2) + R} 2^{k\tau} \tilde{w}(U(a, R)).$$

Fubini's theorem gives that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{U(a, 2^k R)} \tilde{w}(z) dv(z) &= \int_{U(a, 2^k R)} \frac{1}{(1 - |z|^2)^n} \int_{I_z} w(\zeta) d\sigma(\zeta) dv(z) \\ &\simeq \int_{B(\frac{a}{|a|}, C2^k R)} w(\zeta) d\sigma(\zeta) \int_{U(a, 2^k R) \cap D_\alpha(\zeta)} \frac{dv(z)}{(1 - |z|^2)^n} \simeq 2^k R \int_{B(\frac{a}{|a|}, 2^k R)} w(\zeta) d\sigma(\zeta). \end{aligned}$$

Assume first that $R > \delta(1 - |a|^2)$, $\delta > 0$ small enough. Then last argument can be applied to $U(a, R)$, and we get

$$\int_{U(a, R)} \tilde{w}(z) dv(z) \simeq R \int_{B(\frac{a}{|a|}, R)} w(\zeta) d\sigma(\zeta).$$

Thus in that case, the doubling condition reduces to check that

$$2^k R w(B(\frac{a}{|a|}, 2^k R)) \preceq 2^{k\tau} R w(B(\frac{a}{|a|}, R)),$$

which follows from the fact that $w \in D_\tau$. If $R < \delta(1 - |a|^2)$ and δ is small enough, we have observed in previous lemmas that $\tilde{w}$ is "frozen" in $U(a, R)$. Consequently,

$$\tilde{w}(U(a, R)) \simeq v(U(a, R)) \tilde{w}(a) \simeq R^n (1 - |a|^2) \frac{1}{(1 - |a|^2)^n} \int_{I_a} w(\zeta) d\sigma(\zeta).$$

This observation, together with the fact that w is in D_τ gives then that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{w}(U(a, 2^k R)) &\simeq 2^k R w(B(\frac{a}{|a|}, 2^k R)) \preceq 2^k R \left(\frac{2^k R}{(1 - |a|^2)} \right)^\tau w(B(\frac{a}{|a|}, (1 - |a|^2))) \\ &\simeq 2^k R \left(\frac{2^k R}{(1 - |a|^2)} \right)^\tau \frac{(1 - |a|^2)^n}{R^n (1 - |a|^2)} \tilde{w}(U(a, R)) = \frac{2^k R}{(1 - |a|^2)} 2^{k\tau} \left(\frac{R}{(1 - |a|^2)} \right)^{\tau-n} \tilde{w}(U(a, R)). \end{aligned}$$

Since in this case, $\frac{R}{(1 - |a|^2)} \preceq 1$, we are done. $\square$

3. WEIGHTED HOLOMORPHIC BESOV SPACES

We now introduce the weighted holomorphic Besov spaces. Let w be an B_p -weight in $\mathbf{B}^n$, $1 < p < +\infty$, and $s \in \mathbf{R}$. The space $B_{s,k}^p(w, \mathbf{B}^n)$ is the space of holomorphic functions in $\mathbf{B}^n$ for which

$$\|f\|_{B_{s,k}^p(w, \mathbf{B}^n)}^p = \int_{\mathbf{B}^n} |(I + R)^k f(y)|^p (1 - |y|^2)^{(k-s)p-1} w(y) dv(y) < +\infty,$$

where $k \in \mathbf{Z}_+$, $k > s$. In fact, the definition of the weighted holomorphic Besov spaces does not depend on $k > s$. This is the object of the following result.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $1 < p < +\infty$, $s \in \mathbf{R}$, $k_1 > k_2 > s$, and w a B_p -weight in $\mathbf{B}^n$. We then have that the following are equivalent:*

- (i) $f \in B_{s,k_1}^p(w, \mathbf{B}^n)$.
- (ii) $f \in B_{s,k_2}^p(w, \mathbf{B}^n)$.

Proof of theorem 3.1:

Assume that (i) holds. The fact that $f \in B_{s,k_1}^p(w, \mathbf{B}^n)$ means that $(I + R)^{k_1} f(y) (1 - |y|^2)^{k_1 - s - \frac{1}{p}}$ is in $L^p(w dv)$. In particular, there exists $p_1 < p$ such that $(I + R)^{k_1} f(y) (1 - |y|^2)^{k_1 - s - \frac{1}{p}}$ is in $L^{p_1}(dv)$ (see [CaOr3], Lemma 1.1). Consequently, the kernel $c_N (1 - |z|^2)^N / (1 - \bar{z}y)^{n+1+N}$, for N big enough, and for an adequate constant $c_N > 0$, is a reproducing kernel for the function f and its derivatives. We then have

$$(I + R)^{k_2} f(y) = c_N \int_{\mathbf{B}^n} (I + R)^{k_1} f(z) (I + R_y)^{k_2 - k_1} \frac{(1 - |z|^2)^N}{(1 - \bar{z}y)^{n+1+N}} dv(z),$$

where the operator $(I+R)^{-m}$ has the following integral representation (see for instance [OrFa])

$$(I+R)^{-m}f(z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(m)} \int_0^1 \left(\log \frac{1}{r} \right)^{m-1} f(rz) dr.$$

Thus

$$|(I+R)^{k_2}f(y)| \preceq \int_{\mathbf{B}^n} \frac{|(I+R)^{k_1}f(z)|(1-|z|^2)^N}{|1-\bar{z}y|^{n+1+N+k_2-k_1}} dv(z),$$

and we have,

$$\begin{aligned} (3.1) \quad & \|f\|_{B_{s,k_2}^p(w,\mathbf{B}^n)} = \\ & \sup_{\|\psi\|_{L^{p'}(w dv)} \leq 1} \left| \int_{\mathbf{B}^n} (I+R)^{k_2}f(y)(1-|y|^2)^{k_2-s-\frac{1}{p}} \psi(y)w(y)dv(y) \right| \\ & \preceq \sup_{\|\psi\|_{L^{p'}(w dv)} \leq 1} \int_{\mathbf{B}^n} \int_{\mathbf{B}^n} |(I+R)^{k_1}f(z)| \frac{(1-|z|^2)^N(1-|y|^2)^{k_2-s-\frac{1}{p}}}{|1-\bar{z}y|^{n+1+N+k_2-k_1}} \psi(y)w(y)dv(y)dv(z). \end{aligned}$$

We now check that the mapping

$$(3.2) \quad T_{N,k_1,k_2}(\psi) = \int_{\mathbf{B}^n} \psi(y) \frac{(1-|z|^2)^{N-(k_1-s-\frac{1}{p})}(1-|y|^2)^{k_2-s-\frac{1}{p}}}{|1-\bar{z}y|^{n+1+N+k_2-k_1}} w(y)dv(y),$$

is bounded from $L^{p'}(w dv)$ to $L^{p'}(w^{-(p'-1)})$. Indeed, if we denote $\alpha(y) = \psi(y)w(y)$, this holds if and only if the mapping

$$\alpha \rightarrow \int_{\mathbf{B}^n} \frac{(1-|z|^2)^{N-(k_1-s-\frac{1}{p})}(1-|y|^2)^{k_2-s-\frac{1}{p}}}{|1-\bar{z}y|^{n+1+N+k_2-k_1}} \alpha(y)dv(y),$$

is bounded from $L^{p'}(w^{-(p'-1)})$ to itself.

Since

$$\frac{(1-|z|^2)^{N-(k_1-s-\frac{1}{p})}(1-|y|^2)^{k_2-s-\frac{1}{p}}}{|1-\bar{z}y|^{n+1+N+k_2-k_1}} \preceq \frac{(1-|y|^2)^{k_2-s-\frac{1}{p}}}{|1-\bar{z}y|^{n+1+k_2-s-\frac{1}{p}}},$$

and $k_2-s-\frac{1}{p} > -1$, this is a consequence of proposition 2 in [Be], where it is shown that the operator

$$T_{k_2-s-\frac{1}{p}}f(z) = \int_{\mathbf{B}^n} \frac{(1-|y|^2)^{k_2-s-\frac{1}{p}}f(y)}{|1-\bar{z}y|^{n+1+k_2-s-\frac{1}{p}}} dv(y)$$

sends $L^{p'}(w^{-(p'-1)})$ to itself, provided the weight $w^{-(p'-1)}$ is in the class $B_{p'}$.

We then have from (3.1) that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|f\|_{B_{s,k_2}^p(w, \mathbf{B}^n)} \preceq \\
& \sup_{\|\psi\|_{L^{p'}(w dv)} \leq 1} \int_{\mathbf{B}^n} |(I+R)^{k_1} f(z)| (1-|z|^2)^{k_1-s-\frac{1}{p}} w^{\frac{1}{p}}(z) T_{N,k_1,k_2}(\psi)(z) w^{-\frac{1}{p}}(z) dv(z) \\
& \preceq \|f\|_{B_{s,k_1}^p(w, \mathbf{B}^n)} \sup_{\|\psi\|_{L^{p'}(w dv)} \leq 1} \|T_{N,k_1,k_2}(\psi)\|_{L^{p'}(w dv)} \\
& \preceq \|f\|_{B_{s,k_1}^p(w, \mathbf{B}^n)} \sup_{\|\psi w\|_{L^{p'}(w^{-(p'-1)} dv)} \leq 1} \|\psi\|_{L^{p'}(w dv)} = \|f\|_{B_{s,k_1}^p(w, \mathbf{B}^n)}.
\end{aligned}$$

The other implication is proved in a simmilar way. $\square$

By Theorem 3.1, the spaces $B_{s,k}^p(w, \mathbf{B}^n)$ do not depend on $k > s$, and from now on we will denote them simply by $B_s^p(w, \mathbf{B}^n)$. Observe that if $w \in A_p(\mathbf{S}^n)$, and

$$\tilde{w}(z) = \frac{1}{(1-|z|^2)^n} \int_{I_z} w(\zeta) d\sigma(\zeta),$$

we have that $B_s^p(\tilde{w}, \mathbf{B}^n) = HF_s^{pp}(w)$.

Our next result shows that the weighted Besov space associated to a weight in $B_s^p(\mathbf{B}^n)$ coincides with the corresponding weighted space of its regularisation. In particular, we deduce that in the definition of $B_s^p(w, \mathbf{B}^n)$ we can assume, without loss of generality that $w \in A_p(\mathbf{B}^n)$.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $1 < p < +\infty$, $s \in \mathbf{R}$, and assume that w is a weight in $B_p(\mathbf{B}^n)$. Then the spaces $B_s^p(w, \mathbf{B}^n)$ and $B_s^p(R_\varepsilon w, \mathbf{B}^n)$ coincide.*

Proof of proposition 3.2:

Assume that $\varepsilon < 1$ and $z \in \mathbf{B}^n$, an let $k > s$. The fact that $(I+R)^k f$ is holomorphic in $\mathbf{B}^n$ and that $U_\varepsilon(z)$ is contained and contains an ellipsoid $E(z)$ in $\mathbf{B}^n$ of the same size, gives immediately that

$$|(I+R)^k f(y)| \preceq \frac{1}{|U_\varepsilon(y)|} \int_{U_\varepsilon(y)} |(I+R)^k f(z)| dv(z).$$

On the other hand, $(1-|y|^2) \simeq (1-|z|^2)$ for any $y \in U_\varepsilon(z)$. Hence

$$(1-|y|^2)^{\frac{(k-s)p-1}{p}} |(I+R)^k f(y)| \preceq \frac{1}{|U_\varepsilon(y)|} \int_{U_\varepsilon(y)} (1-|z|^2)^{\frac{(k-s)p-1}{p}} |(I+R)^k f(z)| dv(z).$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|f\|_{B_s^p(R_\varepsilon w)} = \int_{\mathbf{B}^n} |(I+R)^k f(y)|^p (1-|y|^2)^{(k-s)p-1} R_\varepsilon w(y) dv(y) \\
& \preceq \int_{\mathbf{B}^n} \left[\frac{1}{(1-|y|^2)^{n+1}} \int_{U_\varepsilon(y)} (1-|z|^2)^{\frac{(k-s)p-1}{p}} |(I+R)^k f(z)| dv(z) \right]^p R_\varepsilon w(y) dv(y) \\
& \simeq \int_{\mathbf{B}^n} \left(R_\varepsilon \left((1-|z|^2)^{\frac{(k-s)p-1}{p}} |(I+R)^k f(z)| \right) (y) \right)^p R_\varepsilon w(y) dv(y).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $w \in B_p(\mathbf{B}^n)$,

$$\int_{\mathbf{B}^n} (R_\varepsilon g)^p R_\varepsilon w dv \preceq \int_{\mathbf{B}^n} g^p w dv$$

for any $g \geq 0$ (the proof is analogous to lemma 9 in [Be]), we deduce that the above is bounded by

$$\int_{\mathbf{B}^n} \left((1 - |y|^2)^{\frac{(k-s)p-1}{p}} |(I + R)^k f(y)| \right)^p w(y) dv(y) = C \|f\|_{B_s^p(w)}^p.$$

On the other hand, the fact that $|(I + R)^k f|^p$ is plurisubharmonic gives that

$$|(I + R)^k f(y)|^p \preceq \frac{1}{|U_\varepsilon(y)|} \int_{U_\varepsilon(y)} |(I + R)^k f(z)|^p dv(z).$$

Since in $U_\varepsilon(z)$, $(1 - |y|^2) \simeq (1 - |z|^2)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{B_s^p(w)} &= \int_{\mathbf{B}^n} |(I + R)^k f(y)|^p (1 - |y|^2)^{(k-s)p-1} w(y) dv(y) \\ &\preceq \int_{\mathbf{B}^n} \left(R_\varepsilon \left((1 - |y|^2)^{\frac{(k-s)p-1}{p}} (I + R)^k f \right)^p (y) \right) w(y) dv(y) \preceq \end{aligned}$$

Fubini's theorem gives that there exists $\varepsilon' > 0$ such that for any $f, g \geq 0$,

$$\int_{\mathbf{B}} f R_\varepsilon g dv \preceq \int_{\mathbf{B}} g R_{\varepsilon'} f dv.$$

Hence, the above is bounded by

$$\int_{\mathbf{B}^n} (1 - |y|^2)^{(k-s)p-1} |(I + R)^k f(y)|^p R_{\varepsilon'} w(y) dv(y) = C \|f\|_{B_s^p(R_\varepsilon w)}^p. \quad \square$$

Our next goal is to study the relations between the weighted Besov spaces in $\mathbf{B}^n$ and the weighted Hardy-Sobolev spaces with respect to the lifted weight in $\mathbf{S}^{n+1}$. We will first show that the restriction operator maps $H_s^p(w_l)$ onto $B_{s-\frac{1}{p}}^p(w, \mathbf{B}^n)$.

We begin with a weighted restriction theorem. In order to make clearer the notation, in what follows we will write $HF_s^{p,q}(w_l, \mathbf{B}^{n+1})$ instead of $HF_s^{p,q}(w_l)$. We recall (see [CaOr3]) that $H_s^{p,2}(w_l, \mathbf{B}^{n+1}) = H_s^2(w_l)$ and that if $q_0 \leq q_1 \leq +\infty$, $HF_s^{pq_0}(w_l, \mathbf{B}^{n+1}) \subset HF_s^{pq_1}(w_l, \mathbf{B}^{n+1})$.

Theorem 3.3. *Let $1 < p < +\infty$, $1 \leq q < +\infty$, w an A_p -weight in $\mathbf{B}^n$, and $s \in \mathbf{R}$. Then the restriction operator maps $HF_s^{p,q}(w_l, \mathbf{B}^{n+1})$ to $B_{s-\frac{1}{p}}^p(w, \mathbf{B}^n)$.*

Proof of theorem 3.3:

Since if $q_0 \leq q_1 \leq +\infty$, $HF_s^{pq_0}(w_l, \mathbf{B}^{n+1}) \subset HF_s^{pq_1}(w_l, \mathbf{B}^{n+1})$, it is enough to show that

$$HF_s^{p\infty}(w_l, \mathbf{B}^{n+1})|_{\mathbf{B}^n} \subset B_{s-\frac{1}{p}}^p(w, \mathbf{B}^n).$$

Let $f \in HF_s^{p\infty}(w_l, \mathbf{B}^{n+1})$, and $k > s$. We have that if $N > 0$ is chosen big enough, the representation formula gives that

$$(I + R)^k f(y) = C \int_{\mathbf{B}^{n+1}} (I + R)^k f(z) \frac{(1 - |z|^2)^N}{(1 - y\bar{z})^{n+2+N}} dv(z).$$

Hence,

$$\|f\|_{B_{s-\frac{1}{p}}^p(w, \mathbf{B}^n)}^p \preceq \int_{\mathbf{B}^n} \left| \int_{\mathbf{B}^{n+1}} (I + R)^k f(z) \frac{(1 - |z|^2)^N}{|1 - z\bar{y}|^{n+2+N}} dv(z) \right|^p (1 - |y|^2)^{(k-s)p} w(y) dv(y).$$

Next, duality gives that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|f\|_{B_{s-\frac{1}{p}}^p(w, \mathbf{B}^n)}^p \leq \\
& \sup_{\|\psi\|_{L^{p'}(w dv)} \leq 1} \int_{\mathbf{B}^n} \int_{\mathbf{B}^{n+1}} |(I+R)^k f(z)| \frac{(1-|z|^2)^N}{|1-z\bar{y}|^{n+2+N}} dv(z) (1-|y|^2)^{k-s} \psi(y) w(y) dv(y) \\
& \preceq \sup_{\|\psi\|_{L^{p'}(w)} \leq 1} \int_{\mathbf{B}^n} \int_{\mathbf{S}^{n+1}} \int_0^1 |(I+R)^k f(r\zeta)| \frac{(1-r^2)^N}{|1-r\zeta\bar{y}|^{n+2+N}} dr d\sigma(\zeta) \times \\
& (1-|y|^2)^{k-s} \psi(y) w(y) dv(y) \\
& \preceq \sup_{\|\psi\|_{L^{p'}(w)} \leq 1} \int_{\mathbf{S}^{n+1}} \int_{\mathbf{B}^n} \sup_{r < 1} (|(I+R)^k f(r\zeta)| (1-r^2)^{k-s}) \\
& \times \int_0^1 \frac{(1-r^2)^{N+s-k}}{|1-r\zeta\bar{y}|^{n+2+N}} dr \psi(y) (1-|y|^2)^{k-s} w(y) dv(y) d\sigma(\zeta).
\end{aligned}$$

If $N > 0$ is big enough, we have that $\int_0^1 \frac{(1-r^2)^{N+s-k}}{|1-r\zeta\bar{y}|^{n+2+N}} dr \preceq \frac{1}{|1-\zeta\bar{y}|^{n+1+k-s}}$, and the above is bounded by

$$(3.3) \quad \sup_{\|\psi\|_{L^{p'}(w)} \leq 1} \int_{\mathbf{S}^{n+1}} \sup_{r < 1} ((I+R)^k f(r\zeta) | (1-r^2)^{k-s}) \int_{\mathbf{B}^n} \frac{\psi(y) (1-|y|^2)^{k-s}}{|1-\zeta\bar{y}|^{n+1+k-s}} w(y) dv(y) d\sigma(\zeta).$$

Next, if $M > 0$, let K_M be the operator given by

$$K_M(\psi)(\zeta) = \int_{\mathbf{B}^n} \frac{\psi(y) (1-|y|^2)^M}{|1-\zeta\bar{y}|^{n+1+M}} w(y) dv(y),$$

for $\psi \in L^{p'}(w dv)$, $\zeta \in \overline{\mathbf{B}}^{n+1}$. We then have that the following lemma holds.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $1 < p < +\infty$, $M > 0$. We then have that K_M is bounded as an operator from $L^{p'}(w dv)$ in $\mathbf{B}^n$ to $L^{p'}(w_l^{-(p'-1)})$ in $\mathbf{S}^{n+1}$.*

Postponing the proof of the lemma, let us finish the proof of the theorem. Applying Hölder's inequality with exponent p to (3.3), Lemma 3.4 gives that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|f\|_{B_{s-\frac{1}{p}}^p(w, \mathbf{B}^n)}^p \preceq \sup_{\|\psi\|_{L^{p'}(w dv)} \leq 1} \int_{\mathbf{S}^{n+1}} \sup_{r < 1} (|(I+R)^k f(r\zeta)| (1-r^2)^{k-s}) K_{K-s}(\psi)(\zeta) d\sigma(\zeta) \\
& \preceq \sup_{\|\psi\|_{L^{p'}(w dv)} \leq 1} \left(\int_{\mathbf{S}^{n+1}} \sup_{r < 1} (|(I+R)^k f(r\zeta)| (1-r^2)^{k-s})^p w(\zeta) d\sigma(\zeta) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
& \times \left(\int_{\mathbf{S}^{n+1}} K_{K-s}(\psi)(\zeta)^{p'} w^{-(p'-1)}(\zeta) d\sigma(\zeta) \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \preceq \|f\|_{HF_s^{p\infty}(w, \mathbf{B}^{n+1})}. \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

We now give the proof of Lemma 3.4.

Proof of lemma 3.4:

We observe that for every $\zeta' \in \mathbf{B}^n$, $K_M(\psi)$ is constant on $\Pi^{-1}(\zeta')$. Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \|K_M(\psi)\|_{L^{p'}(w_l^{-(p'-1)}d\sigma)}^{p'} &= \int_{\mathbf{S}^{n+1}} K_M(\psi)^{p'}(\zeta) w_l^{-(p'-1)}(\zeta) d\sigma(\zeta) \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{B}^n} K_M(\psi)^{p'}(\zeta') w^{-(p'-1)}(\zeta') dv(\zeta') = \|K_M(\psi)\|_{L^{p'}(w^{-(p'-1)}dv)}^{p'}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we just have to show that there exists $C > 0$ such that for any $\psi \in L^{p'}(w dv)$,

$$(3.4) \quad \|K_M(\psi)\|_{L^{p'}(w^{-(p'-1)}dv)} \leq C \|\psi\|_{L^{p'}(w dv)}.$$

If we denote by $\psi_1 = \psi w$, we have that $\psi \in L^{p'}(w dv)$ if and only if $\psi_1 \in L^{p'}(w^{-(p'-1)}dv)$. Thus if T_M^* is the operator defined by

$$T_M^*(\psi_1)(z) = \int_{\mathbf{B}^n} \frac{\psi_1(y)(1-|y|^2)^M}{|1-\bar{z}y|^{n+1+M}} dv(y),$$

for $\psi_1 \in L^{p'}(w^{-(p'-1)}dv)$, $z \in \mathbf{B}^n$, (3.4) can be rewritten as

$$(3.5) \quad \|T_M^*(\psi_1)\|_{L^{p'}(w^{-(p'-1)}dv)} \leq C \|\psi_1\|_{L^{p'}(w^{-(p'-1)}dv)}.$$

And this estimate is again a consequence of proposition 3 in [Be]. $\square$

Now we prove an extension theorem for weighted holomorphic Besov spaces.

Theorem 3.5. *Let $1 < p < +\infty$, $s \in \mathbf{R}$, w an A_p -weight on $\mathbf{B}^n$. We then have that the extension operator $f \rightarrow f_l$, where $f_l(z', z_{n+1}) = f(z')$, if $(z', z_{n+1}) \in \mathbf{S}^{n+1}$, $z' \in \mathbf{B}^n$, maps boundedly $B_{s-\frac{1}{p}}^p(w, \mathbf{B}^n)$ to $HF_s^p(w_l, \mathbf{B}^{n+1})$.*

Proof of theorem 3.5:

Let $f \in B_{s-\frac{1}{p}}^p(w, \mathbf{B}^n)$. If we denote by R_{n+1} the radial derivative in $\mathbf{B}^{n+1}$, then we have

$$(I + R_{n+1})f_l(z', z_{n+1}) = (I + \sum_{i=1}^n z_i \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} + z_{n+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{n+1}})f_l(z', z_{n+1}) = (I + R)f(z'),$$

i.e., $(I + R_{n+1})f_l = ((I + R)f)_l$. Consequently, if $k > s$, and N is choosen big enough, duality and the representation formula give

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_l\|_{HF_s^p(w_l, \mathbf{B}^{n+1})} &= \int_{\mathbf{S}^{n+1}} \left| \int_0^1 ((I + R_{n+1})^k f)_l(r\zeta)(1-r)^{k-s-1} dr \right|^p w_l(\zeta) d\sigma(\zeta) \\ &\simeq \int_{\mathbf{B}^n} \left| \int_0^1 (I + R)^k f(r\zeta')(1-r)^{k-s-1} dr \right|^p w(\zeta') dv(\zeta') \\ &= \sup_{\|\psi\|_{L^{p'}(w)} \leq 1} \left| \int_{\mathbf{B}^n} \int_0^1 (I + R)^k f(r\zeta')(1-r)^{k-s-1} dr \right| \psi(\zeta') w(\zeta') dv(\zeta') \\ &\preceq \sup_{\|\psi\|_{L^{p'}(w)} \leq 1} \int_{\mathbf{B}^n} \int_{\mathbf{B}^n} \int_0^1 \frac{|(I + R)^k f(z)|(1-|z|^2)^N(1-r)^{k-s-1} dr}{|1-\bar{z}\zeta'|^{n+1+N}} dv(z) \psi(\zeta') w(\zeta') dv(\zeta') \\ &\preceq \sup_{\|\psi\|_{L^{p'}(w)} \leq 1} \int_{\mathbf{B}^n} \int_{\mathbf{B}^n} \frac{|(I + R)^k f(z)|(1-|z|^2)^N}{|1-\bar{z}\zeta'|^{n+1+N-(k-s)}} dv(z) \psi(\zeta') w(\zeta') dv(\zeta'). \end{aligned}$$

In order to finish the lemma, an analogous argument to the one used in the restriction theorem, gives that it is enough to show that the mapping defined by

$$\psi \rightarrow \int_{\mathbf{B}^n} \frac{\psi(\zeta')(1 - |z|^2)^{N-(k-s)}}{|1 - \bar{z}\zeta'|^{n+1+N-(k-s)}} w(\zeta') dv(\zeta'),$$

maps boundedly $L^{p'}(wdV)$ to $L^{p'}(w^{-(p'-1)})$, which is again a consequence of proposition 3 in [Be]. $\square$

As an immediate consequence of the above two theorems, and the fact that we have the following relations among the Triebel-Lizorkin spaces

$$\begin{aligned} HF_s^{p1}(w_l, \mathbf{B}^{n+1}) &\subset HF_s^{p2}(w_l, \mathbf{B}^{n+1}) \subset HF_s^{p\infty}(w_l, \mathbf{B}^{n+1}) \\ H_s^{p,2}(w_l, \mathbf{B}^{n+1}) &= H_s^p(w_l), \end{aligned}$$

we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 3.6. *Let $1 < p < +\infty$, $s \in \mathbf{R}$, and w a weight in $A_p(\mathbf{B}^n)$. Then the restriction operator from $H_s^p(w_l)$ to $B_{s-\frac{1}{p}}^p(w, \mathbf{B}^n)$ is onto.*

4. CARLESON MEASURES FOR WEIGHTED HOLOMORPHIC BESOV SPACES

In this section we will give a characterization of the Carleson measures for a class of weighted holomorphic Besov spaces. The main result in [CaOr3] shows that for the Carleson measures for a weighted Hardy-Sobolev space $H_{s_1}^p(w_1)$ for some range of s_1 and for a class of weights w_1 in $\mathbf{S}^{n+1}$ coincide with the Carleson measures for the image of the space $L^p(w_1)$ under the operator K_{s_1} of positive kernel given by $K_{s_1}[L^p[w_1]]$, where

$$K_{s_1}[f](z) = \int_{\mathbf{S}^{n+1}} \frac{f(\zeta)}{|1 - z\bar{\zeta}|^{n+1-s_1}} d\sigma(\zeta).$$

This last problem have been thoroughly studied, (see for instance [SaWhZh]).

In our next result, we will see that we have an analogous situation for Carleson measures for weighted holomorphic Besov spaces.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $1 < p < +\infty$, $0 < s$, w a weight in $B_p(w, \mathbf{B}^n) \cap d_{\tau+1}$, $0 \leq \tau - sp < 1$. Let μ be a positive Borel measure on $\mathbf{B}^n$. We then have that the following assertions are equivalent:*

(i) *There exists $C > 0$ such that for any $f \in B_s^p(w, \mathbf{B}^n)$,*

$$\|f\|_{L^p(d\mu)} \leq C \|f\|_{B_s^p(w, \mathbf{B}^n)}.$$

(ii) *There exists $C > 0$ such that for any $f \in L^p(wdv)$,*

$$\left\| \int_{\mathbf{B}^n} \frac{f(y)dv(y)}{(1 - z\bar{y})^{n+1-(s+\frac{1}{p})}} \right\|_{L^p(d\mu)} \leq C \|f\|_{L^p(wdv)}.$$

(iii) *There exists $C > 0$ such that for any $f \in L^p(wdv)$,*

$$\left\| \int_{\mathbf{B}^n} \frac{f(y)dv(y)}{|1 - z\bar{y}|^{n+1-(s+\frac{1}{p})}} \right\|_{L^p(d\mu)} \leq C \|f\|_{L^p(wdv)}.$$

Proof of theorem 4.1:

We begin by recalling that in previous sections we have proved that the regularisation of w is in $A_p(\mathbf{B}^n) \cap D_{\tau+1}$ and that the corresponding Besov spaces coincide. Thus, without loss of generality we may assume that w is in $A_p \cap D_{\tau+1}$. We also recall that we have already observed that if $w \in D_{\tau+1}$, then the lifted weight w_l is in $D_{\tau+1}(\mathbf{S}^{n+1})$. We check that condition (i) is equivalent to the existence of a constant $C > 0$ such that for any $f \in HF_{s+\frac{1}{p}}^{p,2}(w_l, \mathbf{B}^{n+1})$,

$$(4.1) \quad \|f\|_{L^p(d\mu_l)} \leq C \|f\|_{HF_{s+\frac{1}{p}}^{p,2}(w_l, \mathbf{B}^{n+1})},$$

where μ_l is the measure on $\mathbf{B}^{n+1}$ defined by $\int_{\mathbf{B}^{n+1}} f d\mu_l = \int_{\mathbf{B}^n} f(z', 0) d\mu(z')$.

Let us show that (i) implies (4.1). If $f \in HF_{s+\frac{1}{p}}^p(w_l, \mathbf{B}^{n+1})$, Theorem 3.3 gives that $f|_{B^n} \in B_s^p(w, \mathbf{B}^n)$, and that $\|f|_{B^n}\|_{B_s^p(w, \mathbf{B}^n)} \leq C \|f\|_{HF_{s+\frac{1}{p}}^{p,2}(w_l, \mathbf{B}^{n+1})}$. Since we are assuming that (i) holds, we then have that $\|f|_{B^n}\|_{L^p(d\mu)} \leq C \|f|_{B^n}\|_{B_s^p(w, \mathbf{B}^n)} \leq C \|f\|_{HF_{s+\frac{1}{p}}^{p,2}(w_l, \mathbf{B}^{n+1})}$. Since $\|f|_{B^n}\|_{L^p(d\mu)}^p = \|f\|_{L^p(d\mu_l)}^p$, we are done.

Assume now that (4.1) holds. Theorem 3.5 gives that $f_l \in HF_{s+\frac{1}{p}}^{p,2}(w_l, \mathbf{B}^{n+1})$ for any $f \in B_s^p(w, \mathbf{B}^n)$, with $\|f_l\|_{HF_{s+\frac{1}{p}}^{p,2}(w_l, \mathbf{B}^{n+1})} \leq C \|f\|_{B_s^p(w, \mathbf{B}^n)}$. The hypothesis on w gives then

$$\|f_l\|_{L^p(d\mu_l)} \leq C \|f_l\|_{HF_{s+\frac{1}{p}}^{p,2}(w_l, \mathbf{B}^{n+1})} \leq C \|f\|_{B_s^p(w, \mathbf{B}^n)}.$$

Since $\text{supp } \mu_l \subset \mathbf{B}^n$, $\|f_l\|_{L^p(d\mu_l)}^p = \|f\|_{L^p(d\mu)}^p$, which gives (i).

Going back to the proof of the theorem, the above observation gives that (i) holds if and only if (4.1) does. Next, Theorem 2.13 in [CaOr3] gives that (4.1) can be rewritten as

$$(4.2) \quad \left\| \int_{\mathbf{S}^{n+1}} \frac{f(\zeta) d\sigma(\zeta)}{(1 - z\bar{\zeta})^{n+1-(s+\frac{1}{p})}} \right\|_{L^p(d\mu_l)} \leq C \|f\|_{L^p(w_l)}.$$

Let us check that (4.2) is equivalent to

$$(4.3) \quad \left\| \int_{\mathbf{B}^n} \frac{f(y) dv(y)}{(1 - z\bar{y})^{n+1-(s+\frac{1}{p})}} \right\|_{L^p(d\mu)} \leq C \|f\|_{L^p(w)},$$

for any $f \in L^p(w)$. Indeed, assume first that (4.2) holds, let $f \in L^p(w)$, and let $f_l(z) = f(z')$. We then have that $f_l \in L^p(w_l)$, and $\|f_l\|_{L^p(w_l)} \simeq \|f\|_{L^p(w)}$. Moreover, if $z \in \mathbf{B}^n$,

$$\int_{\mathbf{S}^{n+1}} \frac{f_l(\zeta)}{(1 - z\bar{\zeta})^{n-(s+\frac{1}{p})}} d\sigma(\zeta) = C \int_{\mathbf{B}^n} \frac{f(\zeta')}{(1 - z\bar{\zeta}')^{n+1-(s+\frac{1}{p})}} dv(\zeta'),$$

and consequently, we obtain (4.3).

Assume now that (4.3) holds, and let $f \in L^p(w_l)$. Then the function

$$\tilde{f}(y) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(y, e^{i\theta}(1 - |y|^2)) d\theta,$$

for $y \in \mathbf{B}^n$ is in $L^p(w)$ (just applying Hölder's inequality) and moreover, $\|\tilde{f}\|_{L^p(w)} \leq C\|f\|_{L^p(w_l)}$.

In addition,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbf{B}^n} \frac{\tilde{f}(y)dv(y)}{(1 - z\bar{y})^{n+1-(s+\frac{1}{p})}} &= C \int_{\mathbf{B}^n} \frac{\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(y, e^{i\theta}(1 - |y|^2))d\theta dv(y)}{(1 - z\bar{y})^{n+1-(s+\frac{1}{p})}} = \\ &C \int_{\mathbf{S}^{n+1}} \frac{f(\zeta)}{(1 - z\bar{\zeta})^{n+1-(s+\frac{1}{p})}} d\sigma(\zeta). \end{aligned}$$

And that proves that (i) is equivalent to (ii).

Next, the hypothesis give that $\tau + 1 - (s + \frac{1}{p})p = \tau - sp < 1$. Since we have observed that the lifted weight w_l satisfies the doubling condition $D_{\tau+1}$, Theorem 1.1 gives that (4.1) holds if and only if for any $f \in L^p(w_l)$, $f \geq 0$

$$(4.4) \quad \left\| \int_{\mathbf{S}^{n+1}} \frac{f(\zeta)d\sigma(\zeta)}{|1 - z\bar{\zeta}|^{n+1-(s+\frac{1}{p})}} \right\|_{L^p(d\mu)} \leq C\|f\|_{L^p(w_l)}.$$

The same argument used for the holomorphic potential gives that (4.4) can be rewritten as (iii). $\square$

Corollary 4.2. *Let $w_\alpha(z) = (1 - |z|)^\alpha$, $-1 < \alpha < p - 1$, $s > 0$ and $1 < p < +\infty$. If $0 < \alpha < p - 1$, assume that $0 \leq n + \alpha - sp < 1$ and let $\tau = n + \alpha + 1$. If $-1 < \alpha \leq 0$, assume that $0 \leq n - sp < 1$ and let $\tau = n + 1$. Let μ be a positive Borel measure on $\mathbf{B}^n$. We then have that the following assertions are equivalent:*

(i) *There exists $C > 0$ such that for any $f \in B_s^p(w_\alpha, \mathbf{B}^n)$,*

$$\|f\|_{L^p(d\mu)} \leq C\|f\|_{B_s^p(w_\alpha, \mathbf{B}^n)}.$$

(ii) *There exists $C > 0$ such that for any $f \in L^p(w_\alpha)$,*

$$\left\| \int_{\mathbf{B}^n} \frac{f(y)dv(y)}{(1 - z\bar{y})^{n+1-(s+\frac{1}{p})}} \right\|_{L^p(d\mu)} \leq C\|f\|_{L^p(w_\alpha)}.$$

(iii) *There exists $C > 0$ such that for any $f \in L^p(w_\alpha)$,*

$$\left\| \int_{\mathbf{B}^n} \frac{f(y)dv(y)}{|1 - z\bar{y}|^{n+1-(s+\frac{1}{p})}} \right\|_{L^p(d\mu)} \leq C\|f\|_{L^p(w_\alpha)}.$$

Remark 4.3. *We observe that for a wide class of weights in dimension 1, theorem 4.1 holds without imposing any additional condition to the doubling constant τ .*

1. *Any weight in $A_p(\mathbf{S}^1)$ is in $D_\tau(\mathbf{S}^1)$ for $\tau = p$. Consequently, lemma 2.4 gives that the weight $\tilde{w}(z) = \frac{1}{(1 - |z|)} \int_{I_z} w(\zeta)d\sigma(\zeta)$ is automatically in $D_{p+1}(\mathbf{B}^1)$. Since $0 < \tau - sp = p(1 - s) < 1$ for $0 < s < 1$, the hypothesis of theorem 4.1 are fulfilled, and a Carleson measure μ for $B_s^p(\tilde{w}, \mathbf{B}^1)$ coincides with the ones that satisfy*

$$(4.5) \quad \left\| \int_{\mathbf{B}^1} \frac{f(y)dv(y)}{|1 - z\bar{y}|^{2-(s+\frac{1}{p})}} \right\|_{L^p(d\mu)} \leq C\|f\|_{L^p(\tilde{w}dv)}.$$

2. Let $0 < s < 1$ and $\max(0, sp - 1) \leq \alpha \leq \min(p - 1, sp)$. Assume that $\varphi : (0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is a nondecreasing function satisfying that $\varphi(2^k x) \leq C 2^{ak} \varphi(x)$. Let $w_\varphi(z) = \varphi(1 - |z|)$. Then proposition 2.13 gives that since $\alpha < p - 1$, $w_\varphi \in B_p(\mathbf{B}^1)$, and that for any $\tau > \alpha + 2$, $w_\varphi \in d_\tau(\mathbf{B}^1)$. Thus if we choose τ such that $\alpha + 2 < \tau < 2 + sp$, $w_\varphi \in B_p(\mathbf{B}^1) \cap d_\tau(\mathbf{B}^1)$. For that choice, $0 < \tau - 1 - sp < 1$, and the argument in remark 4.3.1 can be used to deduce that if $\alpha \in (0, p - 1)$, μ is a Carleson measure for $B_s^p(w_\varphi, \mathbf{B}^1)$ if and only if (4.5) holds replacing $\tilde{w}$ by w_φ .

REFERENCES

- [ArRoSa1] N. Arcozzi, R. Rochberg and E. Sawyer, *Carleson measures for analytic Besov spaces*, Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana **18**, (2002), 443–510.
- [ArRoSa2] N. Arcozzi, R. Rochberg and E. Sawyer, *Carleson measures for the Drury-Arveson Hardy spaces and other Besov-Sobolev spaces on complex balls* Preprint accessible at <http://www.dm.unibo.it/~arcozzi/>. **18**, (2002), 443–510.
- [Be] D. Békollé, *Inégalités à poids pour le projecteur de Bergman dans la boule unité de $\mathbf{C}^n$* , Studia Math. **71**, (1981), 305–323.
- [CaOr2] C. Cascante and J.M. Ortega, *Carleson measures on spaces of Hardy-Sobolev type*, Canadian J. Math. **47**, (1995), 1177–1200.
- [CaOr3] C. Cascante and J.M. Ortega, *Carleson measures for $H_s^p(w)$* , to appear in Nagoya Math. Journal. Accessible at Mathematics ArXiv math.cv/0611379.
- [Lu1] D. H. Luecking, *Forward and reverse Carleson inequalities for functions in Bergman spaces and their derivatives*, American J. Math. **107**, (1985), 85–111.
- [OrFa] J.M. Ortega and J. Fabrega, *Holomorphic Triebel-Lizorkin Spaces*, J. Funct. Analysis **151**, (1997), 177–212.
- [OlPa] V.L. Oleinik and B.S. Pavlov, *Embedding theorems for weighted classes of harmonic and holomorphic functions*, J. Soviet Math. **2** (1974), 228–243.
- [Ru] W. Rudin, *Function theory in the unit ball of $\mathbf{C}^n$* , New York: Springer, 1980.
- [SaWhZh] E.T. Sawyer, R.L. Wheeden and S. Zhao, *Weighted norm inequalities for operators of potential type and fractional maximal functions*, Potential Analysis **5**, (1996), 523–580.
- [Ste] D.A. Stegenga, *Multipliers of the Dirichlet space*, Illinois J. Math. **24**, (1980), 113–139.
- [ZhaZhu] R. Zhao and K. Zhu, *Theory of Bergman Spaces in the Unit Ball of $\mathbf{C}^n$* , Preprint accessible at Mathematics ArXiv math.cv/0611093.

DEPARTAMENT DE MATEMÀTICA APLICADA I ANÀLISI, FACULTAT DE MATEMÀTIQUES, UNIVERSITAT DE BARCELONA, GRAN VIA 585, 08071 BARCELONA, SPAIN
E-mail address: cascante@ub.edu

DEPARTAMENT DE MATEMÀTICA APLICADA I ANÀLISI, FACULTAT DE MATEMÀTIQUES, UNIVERSITAT DE BARCELONA, GRAN VIA 585, 08071 BARCELONA, SPAIN
E-mail address: ortega@ub.edu